

Orange Countywide Oversight Board

Agenda Item No. 6b

Date: 1/16/2024

From: Successor Agency to the Irvine Redevelopment Agency

Subject: Resolution of the Countywide Oversight Board Approving Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) and Administrative Budget

Recommended Action:

Approve resolution approving FY 2024-2025 ROPS and Administrative Budget for the Irvine Successor Agency

The Irvine Successor Agency requests approval of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) and Administrative Budget for Fiscal Year 2024-2025. Attachment 1 is the proposed Orange County Oversight Board Resolution for Irvine's 2024-2025 ROPS.

Enforceable obligations of the Successor Agency include payments to the County of Orange for Implementation Agreement No. 1 (Attachment 2) for property tax revenues related to the City's annexation of the former military base, Implementation Agreement No. 2 (Attachment 3) for repairs to County-owned property in the project area, and the Stipulated Judgment (Attachment 4) negotiated with the State for \$292 million. The Department of Finance has previously approved all of the requested items.

The ROPS for July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025 (Attachment 5) requests payment for the balance of the Stipulated Judgment, funding necessary to meet the Successor Agency's obligation related to County Implementation Agreements No. 1 and No. 2, and administrative costs for ongoing Successor Agency operations. The total amount requested for these three enforceable obligations, covering both "A" and "B" periods, is \$42,711,253 million.

The Administrative Budget for the Successor Agency is \$80,000 and includes personnel costs for City employees, audit, consulting and legal fees. (Attachment 6).

Implementation Agreement No. 1 (Attachment 2)

On March 8, 2005, the City of Irvine and the County of Orange entered into County Implementation Agreement No. 1 to satisfy section 2.2.8 of the 2003 Agreement. Section 2.2.8(ii) of the 2003 Agreement provided that the City and the County enter into an agreement for the (then) Irvine Redevelopment Agency to annually pay to the County an amount equal to 100 percent of the County's share of property taxes generated by property in the Redevelopment Project Area that the County would have received but for the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan. The Implementation Agreement No. 1 obligation due to the County of Orange in July 2024 is estimated to be \$6,500,000 and is included as item #4 on the FY 2024-2025 ROPS.

Stipulated Judgment (Attachment 4)

The City and Successor Agency filed three lawsuits in Sacramento Superior Court seeking to have the following former redevelopment agency contracts upheld as enforceable obligations: the Purchase Sale and Financing Agreement, the Amended and Restated Development Agreement and the Redevelopment Affordable Housing Funds Grant Agreement. The third action was filed jointly with the Irvine Community Land Trust.

On July 9, 2014, the parties to the lawsuits entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims. The Sacramento Superior Court approved the Stipulated Judgment totaling \$292 million. The terms of the settlement agreement call for the affected taxing entities to receive \$4.38 million in residual property taxes

each fiscal year, before the Successor Agency receives payment towards the Stipulated Judgment. The Irvine Successor Agency is requesting payment for the balance of the Stipulated Judgment for \$35,481,253 million and is included as item #18 on the FY 2023-2024 ROPS.

The City Council of the City of Irvine – serving as the Successor Agency’s governing body – approved the FY 2024-2025 ROPS and Administrative Budget at its meeting on November 28, 2023. (Attachment 7)

Additional attachments include:

- Attachment 8 – Orange County Oversight Board Resolution 22-006 for Irvine (ROPS July 2022 – June 2023)
- Attachment 9 – Orange County Oversight Board Resolution 23-005 for Irvine (ROPS July 2023 – June 2024)
- Attachments 10 and 11 – Department of Finance Review Letters for Irvine for FY 2022-23 and 2023-24, respectively

Attachments 12 and 13 – Irvine’s Two Prior Year’s Approved ROPS Payments for FY 2022-23 and 2023-24, respectively

Impact on Taxing Entities

The terms of the settlement agreement call for the affected taxing entities to receive \$4.38 million in residual property taxes each fiscal year, before the Successor Agency receives payment towards the Stipulated Judgment. This amount is in addition to the payment made pursuant to Implementation Agreement No. 1. The County will receive payment related to Implementation Agreement No. 1 in July 2024.

In accordance with City of Irvine City Council action, the Irvine Community Land Trust (ICLT) receives 10 percent of the Settlement Agreement amount of \$292 million, or \$29.2 million. The Successor Agency to date has received \$256,518,747 million, leaving an outstanding balance of \$35,481,253 million to be paid towards the Settlement Agreement.

Staff Contact(s)

Angie Burgh, Senior Management Analyst, Email – aburgh@cityofirvine.org, Phone – 949-724-6036

Attachments

1. Proposed Oversight Board Resolution No. 24-____
2. Implementation Agreement No. 1 between the Irvine Redevelopment Agency and the County of Orange dated March 18, 2005
3. Implementation Agreement No. 2 between the Irvine Redevelopment Agency and the County of Orange dated August 17, 2010
4. Executed Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (Stipulated Judgment) between the City of Irvine, the Successor Agency, the Irvine Community Land Trust and the California Department of Finance dated July 9, 2014
5. Irvine 2024-25 ROPS Schedule
6. Irvine 2024-25 Admin Budget
7. Irvine Successor Agency ROPS Meeting Minutes November 28, 2023
8. Orange County Oversight Board Resolution 22-006 for Irvine (ROPS July 2022 – June 2023)
9. Orange County Oversight Board Resolution 23-005 for Irvine (ROPS July 2023 – June 2024)
10. Department of Finance Review Letter for Irvine ROPS 22-23
11. Department of Finance Review Letter for Irvine ROPS 23-24
12. Irvine Approved ROPS for 22-23 A and B
13. Irvine Approved ROPS for 23-24 A and B

**RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD
RESOLUTION NO. 24-004**

A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD WITH
OVESIGHT OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF IRVINE, CALIFORNIA *APPROVING THE
RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE [ROPS] 2024-25 A-B* FOR THE
ANNUAL FISCAL PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2024 TO JUNE 30, 2025, INCLUDING THE FY
2024-25 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET, SUBJECT TO SUBMITTAL TO, AND REVIEW BY
THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE [DOF] PURSUANT TO DISSOLUTION LAW,
AND AUTHORIZING POSTING AND TRANSMITTAL THEREOF

WHEREAS, the Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“Former Agency”) was established as a community redevelopment agency that was previously organized and existing under the California Community Redevelopment Law, Health and Safety Code Section 33000, *et seq.*, and previously authorized to transact business and exercise powers of a redevelopment agency pursuant to action of the City Council of the City of Irvine (“City”); and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill x1 26 added Parts 1.8 and 1.85 to Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code, which caused the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies and wind down of the affairs of former agencies, including as such laws were amended by Assembly Bill 1484 and by other subsequent legislation (“Dissolution Law”); and

WHEREAS, as of February 1, 2012 the Agency was dissolved pursuant to the Dissolution Law, and as a separate public entity, corporate and policy the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“Successor Agency”) administers the enforcement obligations of the Former Agency and otherwise unwinds the Former Agency’s affairs, all subject to the review and approval by a seven-member oversight board; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179(j) on July 1, 2018 the Orange Countywide Oversight Board (“Oversight Board”) has jurisdiction over the Successor Agency and all other successor agencies in Orange County; and

WHEREAS, every oversight board, both the prior local oversight board and this newly established Orange Countywide Oversight Board, have fiduciary responsibilities to the holders of enforceable obligations and to the taxing entities that benefit from distributions of property tax and other revenues pursuant to Section 34188 of the Dissolution Law; and

WHEREAS, Section 34177(m), 34177(o) and 34179 provide that each ROPS is submitted to, review and approved by the Successor Agency and then reviewed and approved by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board final review and approval by the State Department of Finance (“DOF”); and

WHEREAS, Section 34177(l) and 34177(o) of the Dissolution Law requires that the annual ROPS for the 2024-25 A-B fiscal period of July 1, 2024 to June 30, 2025 (“ROPS 2024-25 A-B”) shall be submitted to the DOF by the Successor Agency, after approval by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board, no later than February 1, 2024; and

WHEREAS, the ROPS 2024-25, in the form required by DOF, is attached as Exhibit A and the Fiscal Year (“FY”) 2024-25 Administrative Budget is attached as Exhibit B, and both attachments are fully incorporated by this reference; and

WHEREAS, the Orange Countywide Oversight Board has reviewed and considered the Successor Agency’s ROPS 2024-25 A-B and desires to approve it and authorize and direct the Successor Agency staff to transmit the ROPS 2024-25 A-B to the DOF, with copies to the County Executive Officer (“CEO”), County Auditor-Controller (“CAC”), and the State Controller’s Office (“SCO”) as required under the Dissolution Law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD:

SECTION 1. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference, and constitute a material part of this Resolution.

SECTION 2. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board hereby approves ROPS 2024-25 A-B submitted therewith and incorporated by this reference, including the FY 2024-25 administrative budget included herewith.

SECTION 3. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board authorizes transmittal of the ROPS 2024-25 A-B to the DOF, with copies to the CEO, the CAC, and the SCO.

SECTION 4. The City of Irvine’s Finance Director or authorized designee is directed to post this Resolution, including the ROPS 2024-25 A-B, on the City/Successor Agency website pursuant to the Dissolution Law.

SECTION 5. Under Section 34179(h), written notice and information about certain actions taken by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board shall be provided to the DOF by electronic means and in a manner of DOF’s choosing. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board’s action shall become effective five (5) business days after notice in the manner specified by the DOF unless the DOF requests a review.

SECTION 6. The Clerk of the Board shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.

**CONTRACTS
SCAN SHEET**

CONTRACT NUMBER:	4972
AMENDMENT:	
CONTRACT TYPE:	IMPLEMENTATION
CONTRACT NAME:	IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY; CITY OF IRVINE
CONTRACT DATE:	03-08-05
EXPIRATION DATE:	
ENTRY DATE:	
CONTRACT SUBJECT:	IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND WAIVER
ITEM NUMBER:	CC 3.2; RDA 2.1
CONTRACT AMOUNT:	
MEETING DATE:	03-08-05
COUNCIL ACTION:	APPROVED

IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT NO. 1

THIS IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT NO. 1 ("Implementation Agreement") is made and entered into as of the 8th day of March, 2005, by and between the IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY (the "Agency") and the COUNTY OF ORANGE (the "County"). Agency and County may sometimes individually be referred to herein as a "party" and collectively as the "parties."

RECITALS:

A. Agency, County, and the City of Irvine ("City") entered into that certain Property Tax Transfer and Pre-Annexation Agreement, dated March 4, 2003 (the "2003 Agreement"), regarding the annexation and reuse of the former Marine Corp Air Station El Toro (the "Base").

B. Section 2.2.8(ii) of the 2003 Agreement provides that prior to the City and Agency placing the Base or any part thereof into a redevelopment project area the Agency and County shall enter into an agreement reasonably satisfactory to the County providing for the Agency to annually pay to the County an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the County's share of tax increment paid to the Agency from the Base or portion thereof included within a redevelopment project area for use by the County for legally allowable County infrastructure, facilities, and development needs on or related to the Base, including certain uses identified in Section 2.2.3 of the 2003 Agreement, as determined by the County. The foregoing described agreement is also to include a payment structure for such tax increment that would permit the County to issue bonds that are secured by and paid from such Agency payments of tax increment to the County.

C. Agency and City have initiated proceedings which may lead to adoption of an ordinance approving and adopting a Redevelopment Plan ("Plan") for the Orange County Great Park Redevelopment Project Area ("Project Area"). If such ordinance is adopted, the Project Area would include all of the Base now located within the territorial boundaries of the City, with the exception, due to the existing pattern of assessor parcels, of a portion of the area known as the Habitat Reserve Area.

D. The Parties desire to enter into this Implementation Agreement as the agreement between the Agency and County as described in Section 2.2.8(ii) of the 2003 Agreement.

AGREEMENT:

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing Recitals and the covenants and promises hereinafter contained, and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Recitals Incorporated The foregoing Recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

2. Definitions. In addition to terms defined elsewhere in this Implementation Agreement, the following definitions shall apply:

2.1 "Auditor-Controller" means the office of the Auditor-Controller of the County.

2.2 "Agency" means the Irvine Redevelopment Agency, a public body, corporate and politic, organized and existing under the CRL.

2.3 "Agency Payments" shall have the meaning ascribed in Section 3.

2.4 "City" means the City of Irvine, a charter city organized and existing under the Constitution of the State of California. City is not a party to this Implementation Agreement and shall have no obligation hereunder.

2.5 "County" means the County of Orange, California, which for purposes of this Implementation Agreement is defined, collectively as the following, each of which levies property taxes on property in the Project Area: (a) County General Fund; (b) County Library; and (c) County Harbors, Beaches, and Parks.

2.6 "County Account" shall mean an account established with the Orange County Treasurer into which the Agency Payments shall be deposited. The County shall establish the County Account prior to the first Agency Payment.

2.7 "County Parcels" shall mean the parcels defined in Section 2.2.3 of the 2003 Agreement.

2.8 "County Tax Increment Portion" means the percentage of the property taxes generated by property in the Project Area that the County would have received but for the adoption of the Plan which are paid to the Agency as Property Tax Increment, as computed by the County Auditor-Controller (subject to the right of Agency to challenge such computation) in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Revenue and Taxation Code. In calculating the County Tax Increment Portion, the percentage shall be the same as the percentage of the property taxes generated by property in the Project Area that the County General Fund, the County Library, and the County Harbor, Beaches and Parks would have received but for the adoption of the Plan.

2.9 "County Share" means the County Tax Increment Portion of the Property Tax Increment less the Statutory Pass-Through Payment.

2.10 "CRL" means the Community Redevelopment Law of the State of California, Part 1 of Division 24 (commencing with Section 33000) of the California Health and Safety Code.

2.11 "Fiscal Year" means the period from July 1 to, and including, the following June 30.

2.12 "Plan" means the Redevelopment Plan for the Orange County Great Park Redevelopment Project Area, adopted or which may be adopted by ordinance of the City.

2.13 "Project Area" means the Orange County Great Park Redevelopment Project Area which is defined in the Plan.

2.14 "Property Tax Increment" means the full amount of property tax revenues generated from within the Project Area that are allocated to and paid to the Agency pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33670(b), which amounts are attributable to increases in assessed valuation of property in the Project Area above the valuation shown on the last equalized assessment roll prior to the effective date of the ordinance adopting the Plan. Property Tax Increment refers to those taxes collected as a result of the 1% levy allowed under Article XIII A of the California Constitution and shall not include those taxes levied in excess of the 1% general levy.

2.15 "Statutory Pass-Through Payment" means the payment from Property Tax Increment required to be paid by the Agency to the County (i.e., the County General Fund, County Library, and County Harbors, Beaches and Parks) pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33607.5.

3. Agency Payment.

3.1 The Agency Payment for each Fiscal Year shall consist of two components: (a) the County Share and (b) the Statutory Pass-Through Payment.

3.2 Agency, within thirty (30) days after the end of each Fiscal Year, shall calculate and deposit into the County Account or pay to the County, in accordance with this Section 3.2, the following amounts with respect to that Fiscal Year's Property Tax Increment payments to the Agency by the Auditor-Controller: (i) the County Share with respect to that Fiscal Year's Property Tax Increment payment to the Agency by the Auditor-Controller, and (ii) the Statutory Pass-Through Payment with respect to that Fiscal Year's Property Tax Increment payment to the Agency by the Auditor-Controller (the foregoing two components are collectively defined herein as the "Agency Payment"). The County Share portion of the Agency Payment shall be deposited into the County Account. The Statutory Pass-Through Payment portion of the Agency Payment shall be paid to the County, unless the County notifies the Agency in writing that the Statutory Pass-Through Payment portion of the Agency Payment should be deposited into the County Account. At least five (5) days prior to making a deposit to the County Account, the Agency shall notify the County in writing of the amount of the deposit and the expected date of the deposit. The Agency shall, within ten (10) days after it makes the annual deposit into the County Account, notify the County in writing of the deposit to the County Account and provide the County with a detailed written explanation of the calculation of the Agency Payment and the components thereof; provided, however, that Agency's failure to provide such notice or explanation without having received a written request therefor from County shall not be a default of Agency hereunder. The parties acknowledge that, from time to time, lesser or greater Agency Payments may need to be made to reconcile any inadvertent underpayments or overpayments. The parties shall cooperate on periodic audits or reconciliations of the Agency Payments.

3.3 Notwithstanding anything in this Implementation Agreement to the contrary, if the Agency and the County agree to Agency funding, directly or indirectly, from Agency's own Property Tax Increment (which shall not include Agency Payments or funds on deposit in the County Account), pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33445, 33445.5, 33445.6, 33446, or any other provision of law other than Health and Safety Code Section 33607.5, for or in connection with the cost of a public facility owned or leased by the County, then the agreement between the Agency and County for that funding may provide that the Agency shall be permitted to withdraw from the County Account, and to deduct from subsequent Agency Payments if the amount withdrawn from the County Account is insufficient to cover all such amounts paid by the Agency.

3.4 The Orange County Treasurer is authorized to invest the funds in the County Account in the same manner that other similar funds established with the Orange County Treasurer are invested. Any interest earned on funds in the County Account shall accrue to the benefit of, and be deposited in, the County Account. Any loss incurred in the County Account incurred as a result of such investment shall not be the responsibility of Agency. If the Treasurer is authorized by law to charge, assess, or levy any fees or other charges for administering the County Account, including but not limited to in connection with the receipt, deposit, custody, investment, payment, or disbursement of funds to or from the County Account, performing any accounting, or issuing any statements or reports, those fees or charges shall be paid from the County Account or by the County. The Agency shall not be responsible for paying such fees and charges.

3.5 The parties acknowledge and agree that (a)(i) all funds payable to the County by application of Health and Safety Code Section 33670(a), and (ii) any funds payable to the County which may result in the future by virtue of the application of Health and Safety Code Section 33607.7, are not subject to this Implementation Agreement, and (b) this Implementation Agreement is not an "agreement" referred to in Health and Safety Code Section 33607.7(b)(1).

3.6 The parties agree that if there is any court or other legal determination that requires the Statutory Pass-Through Payment component of the Agency Payment to be reduced by the amount of the County Share component of the Agency Payment, then this Implementation Agreement shall be deemed amended as follows:

(i) The County, at the County's sole option, may notify the Agency in writing that the Agency shall not be required to make the Statutory Pass-Through Payment with respect to any or all of the County General Fund, County Library, County Harbors, Beaches and Parks. If the County so notifies the Agency, then (1) Agency shall not make, and shall be entitled to retain as its own funds, the Statutory Pass-Through Payment component of the Agency Payment with respect to the County fund specified in the notice and (2) the County Share component of the Agency Payment shall be increased by a corresponding amount.

(ii) Should the court or other legal determination that causes the County to issue the written notice specified in clause (i) of this Section 3.6 not permit the parties to calculate the County Share in the manner specified in clause (i) of this

Section 3.6, the Agency and the County shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to resolve the issue in a manner that implements the intent of the parties that the Agency Payment for a Fiscal Year be the sum of the County Share plus the Statutory Pass-Through Payment.

4. Use of County Account.

4.1 After County's written notification to the Agency as required by Section 4.2, the County shall withdraw funds from the County Account to pay for the cost of County infrastructure, facilities, and development needs within the Project Area, or outside the Project Area but serving the Project Area, as determined by the County. As used herein, the term "infrastructure, facilities, and development needs" includes, but is not limited to, buildings, structures, utilities, roadways, sewer lines, and other types of infrastructure needs that are necessary to service one or more of the County Parcels and the uses described in Section 2.2.4 of the 2003 Agreement. At the request of the Agency, the County shall provide to the Agency or cause the Treasurer to provide to the Agency an accounting of the amount in and the withdrawals from the County Account.

4.2 The written notification from the County to the Agency regarding withdrawal of funds from the County Account shall be given at least ten (10) days prior to the expected date of withdrawal and shall specify the amount of funds to be withdrawn and precise payment to be made with such withdrawn funds. Such withdrawn funds from the County Account shall then promptly be paid as specified in the written notification given to the Agency to the contractor or other person as County has directed.

4.3 County acknowledges that prior to making any payments from the County Account, Agency, City, and County may each be required to comply with Health and Safety Code Sections 33445 and 33679 or other provisions of the CRL to the extent applicable. County and Agency agree, and Agency agrees to cooperate in causing City, to act diligently to hold any hearings or take any actions required to comply with Health and Safety Code Sections 33445 and 33679 or other provisions of the CRL to the extent applicable, including, if necessary amendment of the Agency's implementation plan adopted pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33490.

4.4 County shall protect, defend, indemnify, and hold harmless Agency and the City and their respective officers, officials, members, employees, agents, and representatives, and each of them, jointly and severally, against and from any and all claims, demands, causes of action, damages, costs, expenses, losses and liabilities, at law or in equity, of every kind or nature whatsoever, and including but not limited to attorneys' fees and expert witness fees, arising out of or in any manner directly or indirectly connected with the disbursement or payment from the County Account as directed by the County.

5. County Bonds. Agency acknowledges that County may wish to pledge the Agency Payments or a portion thereof as security for repayment on bonds to be issued by the County, including for the purposes set forth in Section 2.2.5 of the 2003 Agreement. Agency shall reasonably cooperate with the County in the County's issuance of such bonds, provided: (i) the proceeds of any bonds issued by or on behalf of the County, the repayment of which or the

security for the payment of which shall come from Agency Payments or portion thereof, shall be used for the same purposes that the County Account may be used under this Implementation Agreement; and (ii) if bonds issued by or on behalf of the County are to be repaid from sources in addition to the Agency Payments or portion thereof, or the security for repayment is from sources in addition to the Agency Payments, the proceeds of such bonds to be devoted to the same purposes for which the County Account may be used under this Implementation Agreement shall not be less than the proportional amount the Agency Payments or portion thereof providing repayment or security for the bonds is to the total of all sources of repayment or security for the bonds.

6. Agency Bonds & Other Indebtedness. Nothing in this Implementation Agreement shall be construed to give the County the right to approve any Agency bonded or other indebtedness. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Agency shall not pledge as repayment, or as security for repayment, for any Agency bonded or other indebtedness any Agency Payment or any unused or unencumbered amount in the County Account unless otherwise authorized in writing by the County.

7. Subordination Under Health & Safety Code Section 33607.5(e). Nothing in this Implementation Agreement shall supersede the right of the Agency to request the County subordinate the Statutory Pass-Through Payment portion of the Agency Payment, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33607.5(e).

8. Agreement Is Agency Indebtedness; Tax Increment Limit. Unless otherwise required by law: (a) Agency's obligations under this Implementation Agreement constitute an indebtedness of Agency within the meaning of Health and Safety Code Section 33670(b); and (b) amounts paid by Agency to County under this Implementation Agreement shall not count against the limit on the total number of dollars to be allocated as Property Tax Increment to the Agency under the Plan. The Agency shall claim its obligations under this Implementation Agreement as a debt of the Agency on its statements filed pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33675.

9. Covenant Not to Sue.

9.1 County, on behalf of itself and all County-controlled entities, hereby expressly waives any and all causes of action, claims, demands, counts, actions, losses, breaches of equitable duty, claims for equitable relief, and/or complaints, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent, related to, and agrees not to challenge, (i) the validity of the Plan, or (ii) the ordinance(s) adopting the Plan including but not limited to the findings set forth therein, or (iii) the validity of bonds to finance or refinance, in whole or in part, the Plan on the grounds of the invalidity of the Plan, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing clauses (i), (ii) or (iii), the legality and validity of all proceedings taken or in any way connected with the designation of the survey area, the Project Area, findings under Health and Safety Code Section 33492.18, the formulation of the Preliminary Plan, the adoption of the Plan, and the future preparation and certification of the environmental impact report for the redevelopment of the Base pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33492.18 and the California Environmental Quality Act. County further agrees not to promote, fund, or assist any other person in any claim or challenge related to any of the foregoing.

9.2 County acknowledges that it is familiar with Civil Code Section 1542 which provides:

“A general release does not extend to claims a creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.”

County expressly WAIVES any rights it may have under Civil Code Section 1542 with respect to the matters specified in Section 9.1 above. By initialing below, County acknowledges that it has read the above waiver and understands its effect and has been advised by County Counsel regarding its effect, and agrees to it with advice of counsel.

County's Initials _____

Bull Campbell SN

10. City and Agency Obligations. City shall have no financial or other liabilities or obligations by virtue of this Implementation Agreement. Agency shall have no financial or other liabilities by virtue of this Implementation Agreement other than the obligations set forth in this Implementation Agreement.

11. Defaults. Subject to the extensions of time set forth in Section 16, failure or delay by either party to perform any term or provision of this Implementation Agreement constitutes a default under this Implementation Agreement. A party claiming a default shall give written notice of default to the other party, specifying the default complained of and the actions required to correct such default. The claimant shall not institute proceedings against the other party if the other party, within thirty (30) days from receipt of such notice, immediately and with due diligence commences to cure, correct or remedy such failure or delay and completes such cure, correction or remedy as soon as reasonably practicable after receipt of such notice. If the default is not cured or commenced to be cured by the defaulting party within said thirty (30) day period, the defaulting party shall be entitled to pursue whatever remedies at law or in equity to which such party may be entitled.

12. Notices. All notices required to be delivered under this Implementation Agreement or under applicable law shall be personally delivered, or delivered by United States mail, prepaid, certified, return receipt requested, or by reputable document delivery service that provides a receipt showing date and time of delivery. Notices personally delivered or delivered by a document delivery service shall be effective upon receipt. Notices delivered by mail shall be effective as of the earlier of (i) actual receipt, or (ii) Noon on the third business day following dispatch. Notices shall be delivered to the following addresses:

If to Agency: Irvine Redevelopment Agency
Attn: Executive Director
Irvine City Hall
One Civic Center Plaza
Irvine, CA 92623

With copy to: Joel D. Kuperberg
Rutan & Tucker
611 Anton Blvd., Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

If to County: County of Orange
Attn: County Executive Officer
10 Civic Center Plaza
Santa Ana, CA 92701

With copy to: Office of County Counsel
Attn: Benjamin de Mayo, County Counsel
10 Civic Center Plaza
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Such written notices, demands and communications may be sent in the same manner to such other addresses as either party may from time to time designate by mail as provided in this Section.

13. Non-liability of Public Officers and Employees.

13.1 No officer, official, member, employee, agent, or representative of Agency shall be personally liable to County, or any successor or assign of same, in the event of any default or breach by Agency, or for any amount which may become due to County, or any successor or assign of same, or for breach of any obligation of the terms of this Implementation Agreement.

13.2 No officer, official, member, employee, agent, or representative of County shall be personally liable to Agency, or any successor or assign of same, in the event of any default or breach by County, or for any amount which may become due to Agency, or any successor or assign of same, or for breach of any obligation of the terms of this Implementation Agreement.

14. Binding Effect; Assignment Prohibited Without Prior Consent of Other Party. This Implementation Agreement, and all covenants and releases set forth herein, shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the respective parties and their respective legal representatives, successors and assigns. Neither party to this Implementation Agreement may assign its rights or obligations under this Implementation Agreement without the prior written approval of the other party hereto.

15. Satisfaction of Section 2.2.8 of 2003 Agreement. This Implementation Agreement satisfies in full the requirements set forth in Section 2.2.8 of the 2003 Agreement concerning an agreement to be entered into between the Agency and County with respect to the portion of the Base (as defined in the 2003 Agreement) included in the Project Area. County, on behalf of itself and County-controlled entities, and Agency on behalf of itself and Agency-controlled entities, hereby knowingly, voluntarily, and expressly waive any right to challenge the validity of the 2003 Agreement or this Implementation Agreement. County and Agency, on behalf of themselves and their respective controlled entities, further agree (i) to include in any agreements pertaining to use or development of the Project Area a similar provision whereby the parties to the agreement waive any right to challenge the validity of the 2003 Agreement or this Implementation Agreement, and (ii) not to promote, fund, or assist any other person in any claim or challenge related to any of the foregoing.

16. Enforced Delay. In addition to specific provisions of this Implementation Agreement, performance by either party hereunder shall not be deemed to be in default, and all performance under this Implementation Agreement shall be extended, where delays or defaults are due to war; terrorism; insurrection; strikes; lockouts; riots; floods; earthquakes; fires; casualties; acts of God; acts of the public enemy; epidemics; quarantine restrictions; freight embargoes; lack of transportation; governmental restrictions or priority; litigation; unusually severe weather; inability to secure necessary labor, materials or tools; delays of any contractor; subcontractor or supplier; acts or omissions of the other party; acts or failures to act of any other public or governmental agency or entity other than the Agency or County; or any other causes beyond the control or without the fault of the party claiming an extension of time to perform.

17. Interpretation; Governing Law. This Implementation Agreement shall be construed according to its fair meaning and as if prepared by all of the parties hereto. This Implementation Agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California in effect at the time of the execution of this Implementation Agreement.

18. Rights and Remedies Are Cumulative; Inaction Not Waiver of Default. Except as may otherwise be expressly stated in this Implementation Agreement, the rights and remedies of the parties are cumulative, and the exercise by either party of one or more of such rights or remedies shall not preclude the exercise by it, at the same or different times, of any other rights or remedies for the same default or any other default by the other party. Any failures or delays by either party in asserting any of its rights and remedies as to any default shall not operate as a waiver of any default or of any such rights or remedies, or deprive either such party of its right to institute and maintain any actions or proceedings which it may deem necessary to protect, assert or enforce any such rights or remedies.

19. Further Assurances. Each party hereto agrees, without further consideration, to execute such other and further documents, and to perform such other and further acts, as may be necessary or proper, in order to consummate the transactions set forth in and contemplated by this Implementation Agreement.

20. Representations and Warranties by Parties. Each party represents and warrants to the other that:

20.1 such party has the power and capacity to enter into this Implementation Agreement;

20.2 such party lacks actual knowledge of any agreement that would be violated by such party's entry into this Implementation Agreement;

20.3 such party lacks actual knowledge of any agreement, obligation, pending litigation, or asserted claim that would materially affect such party's obligation to enter into this Implementation Agreement or to perform its obligations hereunder;

20.4 such party has been represented by legal counsel in the preparation and execution of this Implementation Agreement; and

20.5 such party acknowledges and agrees that it enters into this Implementation Agreement based upon its own investigation, knowledge, and voluntary assumption of all of the risks associated with the transactions contemplated hereby, and that such party has read and understands this Implementation Agreement and has been advised by its legal counsel as to its effects.

21. Representations and Warranties by Signatories. Each signatory of a party to this Implementation Agreement represents and warrants to the other party hereto that:

21.1 the signatory has actual authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of the party for which the signatory has signed; and

21.2 the signatory is duly authorized to execute and deliver this Implementation Agreement on behalf of said party for whom the signatory has signed.

22. Conditions to Effectiveness, Effect of Litigation. This Implementation Agreement, even if signed by all the parties hereto, shall not be effective unless and until all of the following have occurred:

(i) The City has adopted an ordinance approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Orange County Great Park Redevelopment Project Area, and such ordinance has become effective in accordance with applicable law.

(ii) Approval of this Implementation Agreement by the parties and its execution by the parties, and the initialing of Section 9.2 by the County.

(iii) Approval and execution of the attached Acknowledgment and Waiver by the City of Irvine.

If litigation challenging the approval and adoption of the Plan or of the ordinance adopting the Plan is filed, the parties' obligations under this Implementation Agreement shall remain in full force and effect until a final judgment or settlement agreement. If, during the

pendency of such litigation, the Agency receives Property Tax Increment, the terms of this Implementation Agreement shall be implemented but the County shall not withdraw or pledge any funds from the County Account or direct Agency to make any payments from the County Account until the litigation has been resolved or the parties otherwise agree, each in their sole discretion, to the withdrawal. If such litigation results in validation of the Plan, this Implementation Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. If such litigation results in invalidation of the Plan, this Implementation Agreement shall be void *ab initio*, and in such case the parties shall cooperate in good faith to implement Section 2.2.8 of the 2003 Agreement if the City thereafter proposes to adopt a new redevelopment plan, the adoption of which would be subject to the 2003 Agreement.

23. Cooperation in Event of Challenge to Agreement. In the event any third party files any claim or litigation challenging the approval and adoption of this Implementation Agreement, or its validity, the parties hereto agree to cooperate in the defense of such challenge.

24. Inadmissibility of Agreement. In the event this Implementation Agreement fails to become effective, or ceases to be effective, for any reason, then, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Evidence Code Sections 1152 and 1600, neither this Implementation Agreement nor any prior drafts or negotiations with respect to this Implementation Agreement shall be admissible as evidence in any proceeding or litigation for any purpose, except to prove the terms of this Implementation Agreement.

25. Fees Incurred. Each party shall be responsible for its own costs and fees incurred with the negotiation and preparation of this Implementation Agreement, including but not limited to attorneys fees.

26. Section Headings. The section headings in this Implementation Agreement are included for convenience and reference only. They do not form a part hereof, and do not in any way codify, interpret, or reflect the intent of the parties. Said headings shall not be used to construe or interpret any provision of this Agreement.

27. Entire Agreement. This Implementation Agreement and the 2003 Agreement reflect the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and integrates all of the terms and conditions mentioned herein or incidental hereto, and supersedes all negotiations or previous agreements between the parties or their predecessors in interest with respect to all or any part of the subject matter hereof.

28. Severability. If any section or portion of this Implementation Agreement shall be held, found, or determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable or invalid for any reason, the parties declare that they would have approved this Implementation Agreement without such unenforceable or invalid section or portion and the parties hereto, in such event, agree to take such further actions as may be reasonably necessary, proper, and available to them to effectuate the intent of the parties as to all provisions set forth in this Implementation Agreement.


29. Amendments. This Implementation Agreement may be amended by the parties by written instrument approved and signed by the parties.

30. Counterparts. This Implementation Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together will constitute one and the same agreement.

31. Effective Date. The Effective Date of this Implementation Agreement shall be the latest of the dates set next to the signatures of the parties hereto after both parties hereto have signed this Implementation Agreement. That latest date shall be inserted into the preamble of this Implementation Agreement.

[end—signature page follows]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed and entered into this Implementation Agreement as of the Effective Date.

	IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
DATED: <u>3/18/05</u>	By: <u>[Signature]</u> Chairperson
ATTEST: By: <u>[Signature]</u> Jeri Stately, Agency Secretary	
APPROVED AS TO FORM: RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP By: <u>[Signature]</u> Joel D. Kuperberg Agency General Counsel	 <p>SIGNED AND CERTIFIED THAT A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DELIVERED TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD</p> <p>ATTEST: <u>[Signature]</u> DARLENE J. BLOOM CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA</p>
	COUNTY OF ORANGE
DATED: _____	By: <u>[Signature]</u> Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
ATTEST: By: _____ Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	
APPROVED AS TO FORM: By: <u>[Signature]</u> Benjamin de Mayo 2/24/05 County Counsel	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND WAIVER BY CITY OF IRVINE

The defined terms used hereinbelow shall have the same meaning as set forth in the foregoing Implementation Agreement No. 1 to which this Acknowledgment and Waiver is attached. By action of the City Council of the City of Irvine, taken on March 8, 2005, (i) the City hereby acknowledges the foregoing Implementation Agreement by and between the Agency and the County; (ii) the City, on behalf of itself and its respective controlled entities, knowingly, voluntarily, and expressly waives any right to challenge the validity of the 2003 Agreement or the Implementation Agreement; (iii) the City, on behalf of itself and its respective controlled entities, agrees to include in any agreements pertaining to use or development of the Project Area a similar provision whereby the parties to the agreement waive any right to challenge the validity of the 2003 Agreement or the Implementation Agreement; (iv) the City, on behalf of itself and its respective controlled entities, agrees not to promote, fund, or assist any other person in any claim or challenge related to any of the foregoing; and (v) the City agrees to act diligently to hold any hearings or take any actions required to comply with Health and Safety Code Sections 33445 and 33679 or other provisions of the CRL in connection with any payments or funding from the County Account.


Dated: 3/18, 2005

CITY OF IRVINE
By: 
MAYOR OF THE CITY OF IRVINE

ATTEST:

By: 
Jeri Stately, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP

By: 
Joel D. Kuperberg, City Attorney

Dan Slater
Direct Dial: (714) 641-3437
E-mail: dslater@rutan.com

April 7, 2005

VIA OVERNITE EXPRESS

Ann Fletcher
Senior Deputy County Counsel
County of Orange
County Counsel's Office
10 Civic Center Plaza
Santa Ana, CA 92702

RECEIVED
CITY OF IRVINE
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2005 APR 11 AM 11:22

Re: Executed Originals of County-Irvine Implementation Agreement No. 1

Dear Ann:

Enclosed please find the three (3) fully executed originals of Implementation Agreement No. 1, dated as of March 8, 2005, by and between the County of Orange and Irvine Redevelopment Agency, with the signed Acknowledgment and Waiver by the City of Irvine attached to each.

The three originals enclosed are marked in the upper right hand corner of page 1 with, respectively, "Original--Clerk of the Board Orange County," "Duplicate Original--Attorney," and "Duplicate Original--CEO."

Please contact me with any questions or concerns. Thanks again for your assistance and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP



Dan Slater

Encl.

cc: Jeri Stately, City Clerk, City of Irvine (w/o encl)
Tina Christiansen, Executive Director, Irvine Redevelopment Agency (w/o encl)
Joel D. Kuperberg, Esq., City Attorney, City of Irvine (w/o encl)

CONTRACTS SCAN SHEET

CONTRACT NUMBER: 6804

CONTRACT TYPE: AGREEMENT

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS
Department initiating contract

CONTRACT DATE: 8/17/2010
As stated in Terms section of Contract

EXPIRATION DATE:
As stated in Terms section of Contract

MEETING DATE: 8/10/2010
Date of meeting where contract was approved

ITEM NUMBER: 3.7
Item number of meeting where contract was approved

CONTRACT AMOUNT:
As stated in Budget section of Contract

CONTRACT NAME: IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY;
As stated in 1st paragraph of contract COUNTY OF ORANGE

CONTRACT SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT NO.
As stated in Description of Services section of contract 2 BETWEEN CITY OF IRVINE, IRVINE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND
COUNTY OF ORANGE

3.6 DEFINED BENEFIT AND DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PENSION PLAN AUDITS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

ACTION:

Received and file the Defined Benefit Pension Plan and the Defined Contribution Pension Plan audits for the year ended December 31, 2009.

3.7 SUBLEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY OF ORANGE FOR 100 ACRE PARCEL IN PLANNING AREA 51

ACTION:

- 1) Adopted RESOLUTION NO. 10-90 – A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF IRVINE APPROVING THE POTENTIAL PAYMENT BY THE IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF CERTAIN COSTS FOR THE POTENTIAL FUTURE RECONSTRUCTION OR REPLACEMENT OF CERTAIN FLOOD CONTROL FACILITIES LOCATED ON CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, AND MAKING FINDINGS UNDER HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 33445 FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF AGENCY FUNDS THEREFOR as amended to include revisions for Exhibit D-1 to include a proposed sewer and reclaimed water easement along the westerly edge of the property and Exhibit D-2 to depict an existing reclaimed water line across the property.
- 2) Authorized the Mayor to execute a Sublease Agreement and Implementation Agreement No. 2 with the County of Orange to provide the County with a 100 acre parcel in accordance with the 2003 Property Tax Transfer and Pre-Annexation Agreement as amended to include revisions for Exhibit D-1 to include a proposed sewer and reclaimed water easement along the westerly edge of the property and Exhibit D-2 to depict an existing reclaimed water line across the property.
- 3) Authorized the Mayor to execute a Reciprocal License Agreement with the County of Orange and Heritage Fields as amended to include revisions for Exhibit D-1 to include a proposed sewer and reclaimed water easement along the westerly edge of the property and Exhibit D-2 to depict an existing reclaimed water line across the property.

3.8 DESIGNATION OF CITY CONSULTANT AS AUTHORIZED CITY REPRESENTATIVE TO EXAMINE SALES AND USE TAX RECORDS

ACTION:

Adopted RESOLUTION NO. 10-91 - A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY

**IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT NO. 2
BETWEEN CITY OF IRVINE, IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND COUNTY
OF ORANGE**

This IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT NO. 2 BETWEEN CITY OF IRVINE, IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND COUNTY OF ORANGE ("Implementation Agreement No. 2") is entered into as of August 17, 2010 (the "Effective Date"), by and between the **City of Irvine**, a California charter city ("City"), the **Irvine Redevelopment Agency** ("Agency") and the **County of Orange**, a political subdivision of the State of California ("County").

RECITALS

A. The City, Agency and County entered into a written "Property Tax Transfer and Pre-Annexation Agreement Regarding the Annexation and Re-Use of Former MCAS El Toro" ("Pre-Annexation Agreement"), dated March 4, 2003, and that agreement titled "Implementation Agreement No.1", ("Implementation Agreement No.1"), dated March 8, 2005, regarding the former United States Marine Corps Air Station El Toro ("El Toro"), which was then located immediately adjacent to but outside the jurisdictional boundaries of the City. A copy of the Pre-Annexation Agreement is attached hereto as **Exhibit "A,"** and a copy of the Implementation Agreement No.1 is attached hereto as **Exhibit "B."** Section 2.2.3 of the Pre-Annexation Agreement provides, among other things, that the City will provide the County with a one hundred (100) acre parcel of property located in the southwesterly corner of El Toro for County's use (the "Premises").

B. In accordance with the Pre-Annexation Agreement, the County and City have entered into a Sublease Agreement, of even date herewith ("Sublease"), attached hereto as **Exhibit "C,"** by which the City has subleased a portion of the Premises (the "Subleased Property") to the County as a precursor to transfer of fee title to the Premises, all as set forth in the Sublease.

C. The County, Agency and City now desire to enter into this Implementation Agreement No. 2 to set forth the agreements among the parties with respect to the long-term development and use of the Premises by the County.

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing Recitals, which are incorporated herein by this reference, and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the City, Agency and the County hereby agree as follows:

1. Use and Improvements on Premises

1.1 The terms of the Sublease set forth the terms of the County's use and possession of the Subleased Property during the term of the Sublease, as well as the circumstances of the eventual transfer of the Premises to the County in fee.

1.1.1 The City and County acknowledge and agree that modifications to the precise boundaries of the Subleased Property/Premises may be necessary to accommodate the ultimate alignment of the roadway that ultimately will be used as the primary access road to the Subleased Property/Premises. The current alignment of the roadway is shown on City of Irvine Master Subdivision Map 17008 (as amended) (as amended, the "MSM") and is referred to as Marine Way on said MSM, and is currently designed as a "Primary" four-lane arterial highway ("Primary Access Road"). The parties further acknowledge that the roadway could, and likely will, ultimately undergo a name change, realignment and/or redesign from what is depicted on the MSM. The parties agree that if the roadway is realigned or redesigned from that shown on the MSM, the Subleased Property/Premises shall continue to have access to and abut a roadway along the entire frontage of the Subleased Property/Premises which frontage (and abutment to the Primary Access Road) is conceptually depicted on the attached **Exhibit "D"**. The parties acknowledge that in the event that significant realignment takes place to the Primary Access Road, such that a minor exchange or re-conveyance of property, as set forth more completely in this paragraph, is not feasible, the County may be provided access to portions of the Subleased Property/Premises by a secondary access road ("Secondary Access Road"), such that 100% of the Subleased Property/Premises frontage shall be abutted and have access to either the Primary Access Road or the Secondary Access Road (provided that, at a minimum, no less than approximately 90% of the linear frontage of the Subleased Property/Premises shall abut the Primary Access Road). Said abutment shall be continuous such that no non-County landowners or other non-County land interests (including but not limited to easements, licenses, etc.), other than those reflected on **Exhibit "D"** (as they may be modified or amended to correspond with any realignment or redesign of the Primary Access Road), shall exist between the Subleased Property/Premises and either the Primary Access Road or the Secondary Access Road as the case may be. Should a realignment or redesign of the Primary Access Road occur at any time after conveyance of the Subleased Property/Premises to the County, the parties intend to adjust the boundaries of the Subleased Property/Premises in accordance with the provisions set forth in this paragraph. In doing so, the parties agree to cooperate in good faith to implement the redesign and/or realignment, including an exchange or re-conveyance of property as necessary to effectuate such redesign and/or realignment, provided that the County shall be left with no less, and not materially more, than a 100 acre parcel. The parties agree that no additional consideration shall be required of either party to the other as a result of said boundary line adjustment. The parties further agree that the cost for the design and construction of the Secondary Access Road, should it be required, shall be done at no additional cost to the County other than County's agreed "Fair Share" contribution as is set forth herein and in **Exhibit "E,"** attached hereto.

1.2 . The parties acknowledge that City, through Heritage Fields El Toro LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("HF" or "Heritage Fields"), intends to construct, or cause to be constructed, certain infrastructure adjacent to or within portions of the Subleased Property and/or the Premises, including Marine Way (including the roadway, parkway, sidewalks, sewer lines, water lines, storm drains, electrical lines, and other utility lines) and the Bee Canyon Channel, as well as certain additional sewer and reclaimed water improvements. The final sewer and final reclaimed water improvements, and Bee Canyon Channel (storm drain) are intended to be constructed beneath the Premises within the "easement areas" generally described on

Exhibit "D" (the parties recognize and acknowledge that interim infrastructure improvements may be constructed partially above ground but shall be relocated or removed at no cost to County if necessary for County use of the Premises as deemed necessary by County in its sole discretion), provided that the City, Agency, and County agree and acknowledge that modifications to the precise boundaries of the easement areas may be necessary to accommodate the technical, logistic and/or design requirements of the applicable infrastructure component and/or the applicable utility provider and easement holder and each such party agrees to reasonably cooperate in effectuating the necessary adjustments to the boundaries of the easement areas as required by such utility providers and easement holders. The Marine Way improvements (i.e., the roadway, parkway, sidewalks, sewer lines, water lines, electrical lines, and other utility lines) will be constructed outside the Premises. The portion of Bee Canyon Channel which crosses the Premises ("County Channel Portion") shall be improved as a reinforced concrete box ("RCB") at no cost to the County (as indicated in that certain Master Plan for Drainage prepared by City and HF and approved by the County pursuant to County's approval letter dated November 25, 2008) capable of withstanding a vehicular parking lot on the surface of the ground, and shall be included within the Premises. Such RCB shall be designed and constructed to Orange County Flood Control District's standards. The cost to construct the RCB on the Premises shall be at HF's cost, with no additional deposit required by the Orange County Flood Control District ("OC Flood") for future repairs or replacement (as part of the backbone infrastructure work described in the Amended MIA, as defined below). The future cost to reconstruct, repair, or replace the RCB shall be paid by the Agency up to a maximum of six hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$650,000) or its successor, provided the County is still the owner of the Premises at the time, and if not, then the current owner of the Premises at that time according to OC Flood's policy then in effect. When the County Channel Portion is improved as a RCB and the sewer and reclaimed water improvements are made, regardless of who causes and pays for said improvements, County shall be granted the sole and exclusive right to use the surface area over the said County Channel Portion and any easement areas that fall within the boundaries of the Subleased Property/Premises, subject to such rights of access as are required by The Irvine Ranch Water District ("IRWD") and/or the OC Flood for maintenance, repair and other activities for which IRWD and/or OC Flood typically retain access rights. To the extent reasonably possible, and subject to the requirements of the applicable utility providers and easement holders, the City, Agency, and County agree to work together so as to mitigate the size of any required easements on the Premises, provided that the parties agree and acknowledge that modifications to the precise boundaries of the easement areas may be necessary to accommodate the requirements of the applicable utility provider and easement holder and each such party agrees to reasonably cooperate in effectuating the necessary adjustments to the boundaries of the easement areas as required by such utility providers and easement holders. Once the easement areas have been approved by the applicable utility provider and easement holder, then the easements may be recorded, with prior County approval as to the form of such easement documents, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned, or delayed, in the official records so long as the easement areas substantially conform to the areas shown on **Exhibit "D."** Concurrently herewith, the City, County, and Heritage Fields each shall enter into a non-exclusive license for reciprocal access purposes ("Reciprocal License Agreement") for the County, the City, and Heritage Fields, and their respective employees, officials, contractors, representatives, tenants, purchasers, invitees, successors and assigns, and to the non-profit organizations utilizing the "Home 1" and "Home 5" parcels that are adjacent to the

Subleased Property, over the alignment reflected on **Exhibit "1"** to the Reciprocal License Agreement, which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "G."**

1.3 [RESERVED]

1.4 The County hereby grants to the City, HF and/their respective contractors, subcontractors, and agents temporary construction access rights and licenses over portions of the Premises in order to construct Marine Way, Bee Canyon Channel improvements, and the remaining sewer and reclaimed water and other utility improvements, as well as appropriate access rights to allow for the access necessary to install and/or maintain the utility lines in the existing easement areas on the Subleased Property and/or the Premises. As necessary, upon or after conveyance of a deed to the Premises from the City to the County, the County shall convey appropriate utility easements to HF and their respective applicable utility providers in compliance with **Exhibit "D,"** to the extent that such easements have not been granted and recorded prior to such conveyance in accordance with the Section 1.2, above.

1.5 The County acknowledges and agrees that, to the extent that it does, the County shall connect to the roadways, utilities, and other Infrastructure (as that term is defined in Paragraph 2.1) not located on the Subleased Property and/ or the Premises, as well as any utilities, and Infrastructure that crosses the Premises, in a manner that complies with standard City requirements and standards. City shall permit such connection at no additional charge by the City to the County (i.e., no charge beyond that described as the County's fair share contribution in Section 2, below) provided that County shall be solely responsible for any connection fees of any utility provider, and provided further that County shall be responsible for any alterations to the alignment of Infrastructure necessary to accommodate County's site plan(s) for use of the Subleased Property.

1.6 An approved water quality management plan ("WQMP") has been completed that includes the Subleased Property and Premises, and County may use such plan, at no cost to the City, to the extent permitted by applicable regulatory authorities, for development of the Subleased Property and Premises. The City makes no representation, warranty or guaranty that any entity other than the City may use the WQMP, nor does the City make any representation, warranty and/or guaranty concerning whether the County's use of the WQMP will be opposed by any other regulatory or private party or body.

1.7 The County acknowledges that the Premises are adjacent to an entrance to the proposed "Orange County Great Park" to be developed by or on behalf of the City, and that the maintenance of the Subleased Property and the Premises may influence the perception of the "Orange County Great Park" by the public. It is the intention of the parties hereto that the County's future development or use of the Premises (not including interim use of existing facilities or any buildings or improvements that exist on the Premises as of the date of this Implementation Agreement No. 2) will not materially visually detract from the properties that are immediately adjacent to the Premises. To that end, the County has every intention of being a "good neighbor" to the City and the Orange County Great Park. Therefore, in the event City determines that it has a legitimate concern that the County's development or proposed development visually detracts from properties that are immediately adjacent to the County's roadway frontage along the Premises (viewed from Marine Way along said roadway frontage,

and no other elevation), County agrees to meet with the City and discuss City's concerns and take those steps that County deems to be reasonable and necessary to address City's concerns. In addressing City's concerns, County agrees to employ similar types of screening techniques used by properties adjacent to the County's roadway frontage along the Premises. For the purposes of this Section 1.7 only, "City" shall refer to the City Manager or the City Council and no other City staff.

1.8 The County and City mutually acknowledge that the Program EIR (State Clearinghouse No. 2002101020) prepared and certified by the City in connection with the City's annexation of El Toro and the City's approval of a general plan amendment, zone change and adoption of the "Great Park Development Agreement" for the reuse of the Base Property (the "Great Park EIR") analyzes the proposed development of the Base Property by HF and the City at a general plan and zoning level, but does not analyze any specific development plan or project for the Subleased Property and/or the Premises. The County understands and agrees both that it is solely responsible for all compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000, et seq. ("CEQA") that is necessary in connection with any future uses or improvements within the Subleased Property and/or the Premises. The County and the City shall confer with one another concerning CEQA compliance activities and throughout the CEQA process for uses of County parcels and the Orange County Great Park parcels, and the County's CEQA compliance will be conducted as though the Subleased Property and/or the Premises remained unincorporated. The County acknowledges that it is responsible for any impacts it may cause as a result of the intensification or alteration of uses on the Subleased Property and/or the Premises beyond those studied in the Great Park EIR, and shall mitigate any such impacts in accordance with CEQA. The City reserves its rights to comment on the environmental analysis of such proposed land uses, including but not limited to the impacts of such proposed uses, the thresholds of significance and impact analysis methodology utilized, the execution of the impact analysis methodology utilized, and the sufficiency of the mitigation proposed to address identified impacts. In the event either party intends to prepare and circulate an EIR or amendment to an existing EIR (including addendums or supplements to an existing EIR), that would increase the current average daily trip ("ADT") generation (based on a total of 30,000 ADTs for Marine Way under the City's existing Great Park EIR), said party will give the other party 30 days notice prior to circulating said document(s).

2. Fair Share Formula.

2.1 The County, in accordance with the Fair Share Formula set forth in **Exhibit "E"** of this Implementation Agreement No. 2, shall pay its fair share of the costs ("Fair Share") for developing and installing the infrastructure improvements directly related to servicing the Premises more particularly described in **Exhibit "E-1"** ("Infrastructure"). The parties understand and acknowledge that to the extent additional or expanded infrastructure above and beyond that described in **Exhibit "E-1"** is required to serve the level of development of the Premises described in the Great Park EIR, any additional contribution required by the City of the County toward the costs of that additional and expanded infrastructure shall, as set forth in Section 2.2.5 of the Pre-Annexation Agreement, be limited to costs associated with those utilities, roadways, sewer lines and other types of infrastructure needs that are necessary to service the Premises, if any. The Infrastructure shall be designed and constructed in accordance with applicable City and OC Flood standards. City shall be the lead agency for the development

and installation of the Infrastructure and may provide for the installation of the Infrastructure in the manner set forth in that certain Amended and Restated Master Implementation Agreement by and between the City and HF ("Amended MIA"). County shall not be a member of, nor shall the Premises be subject, while under County ownership, to any assessment through any Community Facility Districts ("CFD"), assessment districts, landowners associations, or similar infrastructure financing or construction programs, and shall not be required to pay dues or assessments for the City's/Great Park's or Base maintenance costs other than as specified in this Implementation Agreement No. 2 and the exhibits attached hereto.

2.2 The parties hereto may enter into subsequent agreements on timing, design, costs, construction, and other Infrastructure issues affecting the Premises, and these agreements may include the City, the County, the Orange County Transportation Authority, the OC Flood and HF, as necessary. If the County decides that it is necessary to accelerate the construction of the portion of Marine Way that would access the Premises ("Marine Way Portion") and/or the County Channel Portion, (*i.e.*, to provide for the installation of the Marine Way Portion and/or the County Channel Portion sooner than it would otherwise be constructed by the City and/or HF) the County may propose an agreement with the City and/or HF, as necessary, designating the County as the lead agency for the construction of such infrastructure and the City shall cooperate with the County to approve such agreement and shall cooperate with the County in attempting to secure the approval of HF, if necessary, as well as the relocation or termination of the temporary road across the Premises (as more fully described in the Reciprocal Access License), as necessary. In the event that the City or HF decides to perform such infrastructure construction on County's accelerated time-table, County shall agree to the extent that it does not delay the County's use of the Premises. In the event that the County constructs the Marine Way Portion and/or the County Channel Portion, the City shall credit any amounts spent by the County to construct said infrastructure toward the County's Fair Share of the County's Infrastructure costs (such amounts may include County actual administrative costs, including but not limited to actual County charges and overhead, in an amount not to exceed twenty percent (20%) of the costs for any design and construction). In the event the County's cost to construct the Marine Way Portion and/or the County Channel Portion exceeds County's Fair Share of the Infrastructure costs, the City and County, prior to commencement of work, will meet and enter into a funding and phased reimbursement plan that will reimburse the County for said excess cost by remitting to the County, with interest, funds that would otherwise have been paid to a third party for Marine Way Portion and/or County Channel Portion construction.

2.3 County's Fair Share of Infrastructure costs shall be paid from funds deposited in the County Account established pursuant to Implementation Agreement No. 1, a copy of which is attached hereto marked **Exhibit "B,"** unless the County, in its reasonable discretion, chooses to pay such costs with other available funds, and County pursuant to Implementation Agreement No. 1 may, among other things, use the amounts in the County Account to issue bonds or authorize payment by the Agency from said County Account for each invoice submitted by the City within thirty (30) days of receipt of the invoice. In the event there are insufficient funds in the County Account to pay, in full, any invoice when due, then said unpaid invoice(s) or portion thereof, shall – together with interest on the unpaid portion at a rate equal to what the County Treasurer received on its pooled investment for the period said amount(s) remained unpaid, or at the rate of interest City is required to pay on the unpaid amount(s), whichever amount is greater – be deducted from the next available funds deposited

into the County Account, and, in the event the next available funds deposited into the County Account are insufficient to pay said invoice(s), said unpaid amounts with interest shall continue to be deducted in like manner until such time as the full Fair Share amount owing has been recouped by the City, unless the County chooses to pay such outstanding invoices with other County funds. Notwithstanding the foregoing, County agrees, to the degree that sufficient funds are deposited annually into the County Account, to maintain an amount in the County Account sufficient to pay an annual debt service payment on a bond issue of no more than Fifteen Million Six Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$15,600,000) once the construction of Marine Way (as it may be renamed, realigned or redesigned) commences, and until the County's Infrastructure "Fair Share" contribution is paid in full.

2.4 The County's Fair Share responsibility for the maintenance of the existing alignment of Marine Way (also known as "Perimeter Road") shall be as set forth in Reciprocal License Agreement.

3. Indemnification and Release

3.1 County shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the City, the Orange County Great Park Corporation and the Agency, and each of their respective officers, officials, employees, agents, representatives, contractors, successors and assigns (collectively, the "City-Related Parties") from and against any and all claims, demands, causes of action, obligations, setoffs, liabilities, losses, injuries and damages of any kind or nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, foreseen or unforeseen, liquidated or unliquidated (collectively, "Claims") that may be asserted or claimed by any person or entity arising in any way out of the County's activities under this Implementation Agreement No. 2, whether or not there is concurrent passive negligence on the part of the City or any City-Related Party, but excluding such Claims or Liabilities to the extent they arise from the active negligence or willful misconduct of the City or City-Related Party or the acts of independent third parties on the Premises.

3.2 City and the Agency shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the County and each and its officers, officials, employees, agents, representatives, contractors, successors and assigns ("County-Related Parties") from and against any and all Claims of any kind that may be asserted or claimed by any person or entity arising in any way out of the City's or Agency's activities under this Implementation Agreement No. 2, including liability arising out of any condition, maintenance or repair of the Perimeter Road, whether or not there is concurrent passive negligence on the part of the County or any County-Related Party, but excluding such Claims or Liabilities to the extent they arise from the active negligence or willful misconduct of the County or County-Related Party or the acts of independent third parties on the Premises.

4. Miscellaneous

4.1 Notices. All notices, transmittals of documentation and other writings required or permitted to be delivered or transmitted to either of the parties under this Implementation Agreement No. 2 shall be personally served or deposited in a United States mail depository, first class postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

If to the City: City of Irvine
One Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 19575
Irvine, CA 92623
Attention: City Manager

with copy to: Orange County Great Park Corporation
One Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 19575
Irvine, CA 92623
Attention: Chief Executive Officer

If to the County: County of Orange
10 Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 1379
Santa Ana, CA 92702
Attention: County Executive Officer

or such other addresses any party may direct to the other party in writing. All such notices and communications shall be deemed to have been duly given when delivered by hand, if personally delivered. Except where service is by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, service of any instrument or writing shall be deemed completed forty-eight (48) hours after deposit in the United States mail depository.

4.2 Assignment. During the term of this Implementation Agreement No. 2, the County's rights and obligations may be assigned, transferred or otherwise conveyed to any third party upon notice to the City and consistent with and subject to the terms of the Sublease, on condition that such assignee or transferee agrees in writing to assume all of the obligations and requirements of the County as Sub-lessee under this Implementation Agreement No. 2.

4.3 No Third Party Beneficiaries. Nothing expressed or mentioned in this Implementation Agreement No. 2 is intended or shall be construed to give any person, other than the parties hereto and their respective authorized successors and assigns, any legal or equitable right, remedy or claim under or in respect to this Implementation Agreement No. 2 or any of the provisions contained herein. This Implementation Agreement No. 2 and each and every condition and provision hereof are intended to be for the sole and exclusive benefit of the City, Agency and the County, and their respective authorized successors and assigns, and for the benefit of no other person or entity.

4.4 Governing Law. This Implementation Agreement No. 2 shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California applicable to subleases made and to be performed within the State.

4.5 Waiver; Remedies. No failure on the part of either party hereto to insist upon or demand the strict performance by the other party of any covenant, term, condition or promise of this Implementation Agreement No. 2, or to exercise any right or remedy as a result of any breach of the Implementation Agreement No. 2, shall constitute a continuing waiver of any such

breach or of any such covenant, term, condition, promise, right or remedy. No waiver of any breach shall in any way affect, alter or modify this Implementation Agreement No. 2, but each and every covenant, term, condition and promise of this Implementation Agreement No. 2 shall continue in full force and effect. No single or partial exercise of any right, remedy, power or privilege under this Implementation Agreement No. 2 shall preclude any other or further exercise thereof or the exercise of any other right, remedy, power or privilege under this Implementation Agreement No. 2.

4.6 Status of the Parties. Nothing in this Implementation Agreement No. 2 shall be construed to make the parties joint venturers or partners, or to create any relationship of principal and agent, and the parties specifically disavow such relationships.

4.7 Interpretation. This Implementation Agreement No. 2 has been negotiated at arms' length between persons sophisticated and knowledgeable in the matters addressed herein, and both parties have had the opportunity to consult with legal counsel of such party's choosing regarding this Sublease. Accordingly, any rule of law (including California Civil Code § 1654) or legal decision that would require interpretation of this Implementation Agreement No. 2 against the drafter hereof is not applicable and is waived.

4.8 Entire Agreement. This Implementation Agreement No. 2, in conjunction with the Pre-Annexation Agreement and Implementation Agreement No. 1, and the Sublease is intended by the parties as a final expression of their agreement and is intended to be a complete and exclusive statement of the agreement and understanding of the parties hereto in respect to the subject matter contained herein. It is not the intention of the parties that this Implementation Agreement No. 2 shall supersede any prior agreement, including the Pre-Annexation Agreement and Implementation Agreement No. 1. There are no restrictions, promises, warranties or undertakings relating to the subject matter of this Implementation Agreement No. 2, other than those set forth or referred to herein and in the Pre-Annexation Agreement. To the extent that there are inconsistencies between the terms of this Implementation Agreement No. 2 and the Pre-Annexation Agreement, this Implementation Agreement No. 2 supersedes the Pre-Annexation Agreement with respect to those inconsistencies, except with respect to: (i) Section 2.2.4 of the Pre-Annexation Agreement; (ii) the portions of Section 2.2.3 of the Pre-Annexation Agreement related to the conveyance of property other than the Premises, the City's statement that it "will also provide for land use designations that will allow for the intended uses indicted on the attached Exhibit [to the Pre-Annexation Agreement]," and Section 2.2.3.1, and (iii) those portions of Section 2.2.5 that limit the County's Fair Share obligation for the Premises to infrastructure (other than the Infrastructure specifically addressed in this Agreement) that is directly related to servicing the Premises. It is the intention of the parties hereto that this Implementation Agreement No. 2 not alter or vary the terms of Implementation Agreement No. 1.

4.9 Warranty of Authority. Each officer of the City and the County affixing his or her signature below thereby warrants and represents that he or she has the full legal authority to bind his or her respective party to all of the terms, conditions and provisions of this Implementation Agreement No. 2; that his or her respective party has the full legal right, power, capacity and authority to enter into this Sublease and perform all the obligations herein; and that no other approvals or consents are necessary in connection therewith.

4.10 Modifications. Neither this Implementation Agreement No. 2 nor any provision hereof may be changed, waived, discharged or terminated orally or in writing, except that any provision of this Implementation Agreement No. 2 may be amended by a writing signed by the parties, in the observance of any provision of the Implementation Agreement No. 2 may be waived (either generally or in a particular instance in either retroactively or prospectively) by a writing signed by the party against whom such waiver is to be asserted.

4.11 Headings. The headings in this Implementation Agreement No. 2 are for convenience of reference only, and shall not limit or otherwise affect the meaning of this Implementation Agreement No. 2.

4.12 Successors and Assigns. Subject to Section 4.2 above, this Implementation Agreement No. 2 shall inure to the benefit of, and be binding upon, the City, the County, and their respective successors and assigns.


4.13 Exhibits. This Implementation Agreement No. 2 contains exhibits, attached hereto and made a part hereof by this reference. Said exhibits are identified as follows:


- A Pre-Annexation Agreement
- B Implementation Agreement No. 1
- C Sublease
- D Encumbrances on Premises
- D-1 Depiction of Proposed Future Encumbrances
- D-2 Depiction of Existing Encumbrances
- E Fair Share Formula
- F [RESERVED]
- G Reciprocal Access License

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Implementation Agreement No. 2 as of the date first written above.

CITY OF IRVINE, a charter municipal corporation


By:  _____
Mayor


ATTEST:
 _____
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
 _____
City Attorney, City of Irvine

IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

By:  _____
Chair

ATTEST:
 _____
Agency Secretary


APPROVED AS TO FORM:
 _____
Agency General Counsel

COUNTY OF ORANGE, a political subdivision of
the State of California

By: 
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Signed and certified that a copy of this
document has been delivered to the
Chair of the Board per G.C. Sec. 25103,
Resolution 79-1535




Darlene J. Bloom
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Orange County, California

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
COUNTY COUNSEL, COUNTY OF ORANGE


Deputy

**RECORDING REQUESTED BY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL
THIS AGREEMENT TO:**

City of Irvine
One Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 19575
Irvine, CA 92623
Attention: City Manager

Recorded in Official Records, Orange County
Tom Daly, Clerk-Recorder

 NO FEE

2011000036334 2:31 pm 01/20/11

105 415 A12 10

0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 27.00 0.00 0.00 30.00

(Space Above for Recorder's Use)

RECIPROCAL LICENSE AGREEMENT

This RECIPROCAL LICENSE AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made as of this 17th day of AUGUST, 2010, by, between, and among the City of Irvine ("City"), the County of Orange, a political subdivision of the State of California ("County"), and Heritage Fields, El Toro, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Heritage Fields"). The parties to this Reciprocal License Agreement are hereinafter jointly referred to as "the Parties."

RECITALS

A. City, County, and Heritage Fields each hold certain possessory interests, including fee interests, leasehold interests, and sub-leasehold interests, in certain land located in City of Irvine Planning Area No. 51 which is on and/or adjacent to the former Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, and which is improved with that certain roadway that is currently referred to as "Perimeter Road" and/or "Old Marine Way" (hereinafter, "Parties' Property"). The current alignment of said roadway is depicted on Exhibit "1" hereto, and is hereinafter referred to as "Perimeter Road."

B. Concurrent with the execution of this Agreement, the City and the County have entered into (i) a "Sublease Between City of Irvine and County of Orange For Institutional Parcel Within El Toro LIFOC Parcel 3," ("Sublease") and (ii) an "Implementation Agreement No. 2 Between City of Irvine, Irvine Redevelopment Agency and County of Orange" ("Implementation Agreement No. 2"). The Sublease and Implementation Agreement No. 2 provide, *inter alia*, for the immediate transfer of a sub-leasehold interest in 100 acres of property to the County ("Subleased County

Property”), followed by the later transfer of fee title to at least 100 acres of property to the County (“**County Property**”).

C. The Sublease and Implementation Agreement No. 2 also contemplate the construction of a primary access road (“**Primary Access Road**”), and potentially a secondary access road (as applicable, the “**Potential Secondary Access Road**”), that will provide vehicular access to, among other properties, the Parties’ Property and the properties currently utilized by the non-profit organizations operating at the “Home 1” and “Home 5” parcels depicted on **Exhibit “1”** (the “**Non-Profits**”).

D. The timing and phasing of the construction of the Primary Access Road and Potential Secondary Access Road is uncertain, and the Parties therefore each recognize the need to preserve the ability to maintain reciprocal rights to access to the properties in which they hold possessory interests from and over the existing Perimeter Road alignment until such time as the Primary Access Road and Potential Secondary Access Road are constructed.

E. The provision of reciprocal access rights over the existing alignment of Perimeter Road is not intended by the parties to be permanent, and is not intended to delay the design, construction, and operation of the Primary Access Road and the Potential Secondary Access Road, subject to the provisions set forth below.

F. Each of the Parties desires to grant to the other Parties, their respective employees, officials, contractors, representatives, tenants, purchasers, invitees, successors and assigns, (collectively “**successors**”), and the Non-Profits a license on and over that portion of Perimeter Road depicted on **Exhibit "1"** attached hereto that is located on each such Parties’ Property for the purpose of accessing, maintaining, and traveling upon such portion of Perimeter Road.

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the above Recitals and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged, and subject to all of the terms and conditions which follow, the Parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Grant of License. Each of the Parties hereby grants to each of the other Parties, their respective Successors, and the Non-Profits a temporary, non-exclusive, uninterrupted license (the "**License**") upon, over, and along that portion of Perimeter Road depicted on **Exhibit "1"** attached hereto that is located on each such Parties’

Property, as may be required for the purpose of accessing, maintaining, and traveling upon such portion of Perimeter Road. No Party shall install any fence or other barrier that prevents the full access to and use of such portion of Perimeter Road during the term of this License. The reciprocal access rights provided herein do not include the ability to upgrade the existing Perimeter Road, except as may be necessary to facilitate continued access during construction activities related to the new alignment of the Primary Access Road and the Potential Secondary Access Road. The Parties shall not use the reciprocal access rights provided herein as a basis to delay the development of the Primary Access Road and (if applicable) the Potential Secondary Access Road. The Parties acknowledge and agree that nothing contained herein shall require the construction of the Primary Access Road and (if applicable) the Potential Secondary Access Road on any particular time period, given that the timing of the construction of such road shall continue to be governed by the contractual arrangement between the City and Heritage Fields for the construction of backbone infrastructure. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that they may, in their sole and absolute respective discretion and at their sole cost, relocate portions of Perimeter Road located on their respective properties, so long as Perimeter Road continues to connect uninterrupted with those portions of Perimeter Road located on each other Party's property.

2. Maintenance of Perimeter Road. During the term of this License, each Party shall be responsible for maintaining that portion of Perimeter Road that crosses the portion of the Parties' Property in which such Party holds a possessory interest; provided, however, that the County shall not be responsible for maintaining Perimeter Road so long as (i) it does not hold fee interest in the County Property, and (ii) the County Subleased Property is neither physically occupied by County personnel on a regular basis (not including routine inspections and provision of security) or its Successors nor undergoing actual physical development by the County or its Successors (the term "physical development" as used herein does not include routine maintenance of weeds or landscaping, pest control, trash removal or improvements made as a result of infrastructure installation not related to the County's development of the County Property). Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as a modification to any agreement existing between the Parties, or any of them, concerning maintenance responsibilities for Perimeter Road or any other facilities on the Parties' Property. Nor shall anything in this Agreement prohibit the Parties, or any of them, from subcontracting the maintenance responsibilities set forth herein to another person or entity.

3. Termination. This Agreement, and the license provided herein, shall terminate upon the earlier of (i) parties mutual agreement, or (ii) the opening for public access of the Primary Access Road and (as necessary) the Potential Secondary Access Road.

4. Indemnification. In the event that any Party and/or its Successors use any portion of Perimeter Road located on the other Party's property (an "Access Road User") said

Access Road User shall and does hereby agree to indemnify, defend and hold the other Parties and their respective Successors harmless from all costs, expenses, attorneys' fees and court costs, liens, losses, damages, liabilities, claims and demands for property damage or bodily injury or death of any person (collectively, "Loss") arising from such Access Road User's use of Perimeter Road; provided, however, this indemnity shall not apply or extend to any Loss arising with respect to or as a result of another Party's negligence or willful misconduct.

5. Survival of Obligations. The Parties obligations pursuant to Sections 4 shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

6. Notices. No notice, request, demand, instruction or other document to be given hereunder to any party shall be effective for any purpose unless (i) personally delivered to the person at the address set forth below in which event such notice shall be deemed effective only upon delivery, or (ii) delivered by registered or certified mail at the address set forth below, return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by facsimile at the facsimile number set forth below on a business day, during business hours and provided that the original notice shall be sent by overnight courier for arrival the next business day at the address set forth below:

If to the City:

City of Irvine
One Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 19575
Irvine, CA 92623
Attention: City Manager

with copy to:

Orange County Great Park Corporation
One Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 19575
Irvine, CA 92623
Attention: Chief Executive Officer

If to the County:

County of Orange
10 Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 1379
Santa Ana, CA 92702
Attention: County Executive Officer

If to Heritage Fields:

Heritage Fields El Toro LLC
25 Enterprise, Fourth Floor
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
Attention: Lynn Jochim

With a copy to:

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP
1900 Main Street, Fifth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614-7321
Attention: Michael Alvarado, Esq.

Notices so mailed shall be deemed to have been given seventy-two (72) hours after deposit in the United States Post Office, postage prepaid, and properly addressed, or, if sent by telefacsimile, upon completion of the transmission. The addresses and addressees for the purposes of this section may be changed by giving notice of such change in the manner herein provided for giving notice.

7. Assignment. During the term of this Agreement, the license provided herein shall remain a binding obligation upon, and inure to the benefit of, each of the Parties respective Successors.

8. No Third Party Beneficiaries. Nothing expressed or mentioned in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to give any person, other than the parties hereto and their respective authorized Successors, any legal or equitable right, remedy or claim under or in respect to this Agreement or any of the provisions contained herein. This Agreement and each and every condition and provision hereof are intended to be for the sole and exclusive benefit of the Parties, and their respective Successors and for the benefit of no other person or entity.

9. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. Waiver; Remedies. No failure on the part of any Party hereto to insist upon or demand the strict performance by the other party of any covenant, term, condition or promise of this Agreement, or to exercise any right or remedy as a result of any breach of the Agreement, shall constitute a continuing waiver of any such breach or of any such covenant, term, condition, promise, right or remedy. No waiver of any breach shall in any

way affect, alter or modify this Agreement, but each and every covenant, term, condition and promise of this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect. No single or partial exercise of any right, remedy, power or privilege under this Agreement shall preclude any other or further exercise thereof or the exercise of any other right, remedy, power or privilege under this Agreement.

11. Status of the Parties. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to make the parties joint venturers or partners, or to create any relationship of principal and agent, and the parties specifically disavow such relationships.

12. Interpretation. This Agreement has been negotiated at arms' length between persons sophisticated and knowledgeable in the matters addressed herein, and both parties have had the opportunity to consult with legal counsel of such party's choosing regarding this Sublease. Accordingly, any rule of law (including California Civil Code § 1654) or legal decision that would require interpretation of this Agreement against the drafter hereof is not applicable and is waived.

13. Entire Agreement. As between the City and County, this Agreement, in conjunction with the Sublease and Implementation Agreement No. 2, is intended as a final expression of their agreement and is intended to be a complete and exclusive statement of the agreement and understanding of these two Parties hereto in respect to the subject matter contained herein. As among the City, the County and Heritage Fields, this Agreement is intended as a final expression of their agreement and is intended to be a complete and exclusive statement of the agreement and understanding of the three Parties hereto in respect to the subject matter contained herein. It is not the intention of the Parties that this Agreement shall supersede any prior agreement. There are no restrictions, promises, warranties or undertakings relating to the subject matter of this Agreement, other than those set forth or referred to herein.

14. Warranty of Authority. Each Party represents and warrants that each officer or representative of the Parties affixing his or her signature below has the full legal authority to bind his or her respective party to all of the terms, conditions and provisions of this Agreement; that his or her respective party has the full legal right, power, capacity and authority to enter into this Agreement and perform all the obligations herein; and that no other approvals or consents are necessary in connection therewith.

15. Modifications. Neither this Agreement nor any provision hereof may be changed, waived, discharged or terminated orally or in writing, except that any provision of this Agreement may be amended by a writing signed by the Parties, in the observance of any provision of the Agreement may be waived (either generally or in a particular instance in either retroactively or prospectively) by a writing signed by the party against whom such

waiver is to be asserted.

16. Headings. The headings in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only, and shall not limit or otherwise affect the meaning of this Agreement.

17. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and all of which taken together shall constitute but one and the same agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement the day and year first above written.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Implementation Agreement No. 2 as of the date first written above.

CITY OF IRVINE, a charter municipal corporation

By: _____
Mayor



ATTEST:



City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP



City Attorney, City of Irvine

COUNTY OF ORANGE, a political subdivision of
the State of California

By: Janet Aquino
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Signed and certified that a copy of this
document has been delivered to the
Chair of the Board per G.C. Sec. 25103,
Resolution 79-1535

Darlene J. Bloom

Darlene J. Bloom
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Orange County, California



APPROVED AS TO FORM:
County Counsel, COUNTY of Orange

Thomas A. Mill
Deputy


**HERITAGE FIELDS EL TORO, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company**

**By: Heritage Fields, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company
Its: Sole Member**


**By: Lennar-LNR Heritage Fields, LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company
Its: Administrative Member**

**By: Lennar Homes of California, Inc., a
California corporation
Its: Managing Member**

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____



- LEGEND**
- ORANGE COUNTY PARCEL (100.0 AC)
 - CITY PARCEL
 - HOME 1 AND 5
 - EASEMENT LINE
 - BOUNDARY LINE



**COUNTY PARCEL
EXHIBIT 1**

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

This Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims (“**Agreement**”) is made and entered into by and between Petitioners and Plaintiffs City Of Irvine (“**City**”), the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“**Successor Agency**”), and the Irvine Community Land Trust (“**Land Trust**”) (collectively, “**Petitioners**”), on the one hand, and, on the other hand Respondents and Defendants California Department Of Finance (“**DOF**”) and Michael Cohen in his official capacity as the Director of the California Department of Finance (collectively, “**Respondents**”). Petitioners and Respondents are sometimes collectively referred to as the “**Parties**.”

RECITALS

A. City, and Successor Agency have filed the following two Sacramento Superior Court actions, both of which remain pending, against Respondents, (1) *City of Irvine v. Cohen*, case no. 34-2013-80001682 (“**Irvine v. Cohen Case**”), (2) *City of Irvine v. Matosantos*, case no. 34-2012-80001161 (“**Irvine v. Matosantos Case**”); in addition, Land Trust, City, and Successor Agency have filed a petition, which remains pending, against Respondents in *Irvine Community Land Trust v. Matosantos*, case no. 34-2013-80001535 (“**Land Trust Case**”) (the *Irvine v. Cohen Case*, the *Irvine v. Matosantos Case*, and the *Land Trust Case* are collectively referred to as the “**Sacramento Actions**”).

B. The Sacramento Actions relate to the wind down of the Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“**RDA**”) pursuant to Assembly Bill 26 of the 2011-12 First Extraordinary Session of the California Legislature (“**AB x1 26**”) in conjunction with the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Community Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos* (2011) 53 Cal.4th 231 (“**CRA v. Matosantos**”), and as amended by Assembly Bill 1484 of the 2011-12 Regular Session of the California Legislature (“**AB 1484**”) (AB x1 26 and AB 1484, collectively the “**Dissolution Act**”).

C. Under AB x1 26, as interpreted by *CRA v. Matosantos*, the RDA was dissolved on February 1, 2012. Following the dissolution of the RDA, the Successor Agency submitted a series of Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules (“**ROPS**”) to Petitioners in accordance with the Dissolution Act. In those ROPS, Petitioners claimed that three separate agreements are enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Act. Respondents’ disapprovals of those three agreements as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Act are the subjects of the Sacramento Actions.

D. The three separate ROPS items at issue in the Sacramento Actions are: (1) The Purchase and Sale and Financing Agreement (“**PSFA**”), originally dated August 14, 2007 and allegedly reentered on June 12, 2012, by and between the RDA and the City, with an alleged value of approximately Eight Hundred Twelve Million Dollars (\$812,000,000); (2) the Amended and Restated Development Agreement (“**ARDA**”), dated December 27, 2010, which is an alleged obligation of the former RDA to construct the Orange County Great Park with an alleged value of approximately One Billion Four Hundred Million Dollars (\$1,400,000,000); and (3) the Redevelopment Affordable Housing Funds Grant Agreement (“**Land Trust Agreement**”), dated

February 8, 2011, between the RDA and the Land Trust with an alleged value of approximately Seven Hundred Thirty One Million Dollars (\$731,000,000).

E. The City and the Successor Agency allegedly re-entered into the PSFA on June 12, 2012. That action was approved by the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency by Resolution 2012-11 on June 14, 2012. DOF claims that as a valid post-finding of completion enforceable obligation pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 34191.4, the One Hundred Thirty Four Million Dollar (\$134,000,000) principal amount on the PSFA loan is entitled to repayment at an interest rate of thirty two one hundredths of one percent (0.32%) per year. Petitioners claim that the PSFA loan should be treated as a valid reentered agreement pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 34178 and should bear interest at nine percent (9%) per year.

F. The Parties have concluded that it would be in their mutual best interests, and in the public interest, to settle all disputes raised in the Sacramento Actions between Petitioners and Respondents according to the terms described in this Agreement, which shall be incorporated fully by reference into a stipulated judgment to be approved by the Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6. By this Agreement, the Parties intend to fully and completely resolve any and all remaining disputes between the Parties pertaining to, or in any way relating to, the Sacramento Actions.

TERMS OF AGREEMENT

Accordingly, in consideration of the mutual promises contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

1. City, Successor Agency, and Respondents (the “**Cohen Case Parties**”) will jointly submit a stipulated judgment to the Court for approval in *Irvine v. Cohen Case* (“**Stipulated Judgment**”). This Agreement will be attached to the Stipulated Judgment as Exhibit A, and incorporated fully therein by reference. It is the intent of the Cohen Case Parties, and therefore the Cohen Case Parties shall jointly request to the Court in the *Irvine v. Cohen Case*, that the court retain jurisdiction over the Cohen Case Parties until performance in full of the terms of this settlement (as memorialized in this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment).

2. The Cohen Case Parties agree to expeditiously jointly submit a motion to the Court for the approval of the Stipulated Judgment in the *Irvine v. Cohen* action, and the Cohen Case Parties shall remain bound to proactively seek (or, in the case of the Land Trust, not oppose) court approval of the Stipulated Judgment *even if* a change in law (by legislation, by promulgation of administrative rules, or by appellate or supreme court precedent) or the dissemination of persuasive authority (by administrative interpretation, release of superior court tentative or final decisions, or release of unpublished appellate decisions, or other statements or comments from superior or appellate court judges) occurs after the execution of this Agreement but prior to Court action on the request for approval of the Stipulated Judgment.

3. If the Court does not enter a Stipulated Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, this Agreement shall be null and void *ab initio*, without further action of any Party.

Petitioners may then elect not to dismiss the Sacramento Actions and, instead, proceed to prosecute them.

4. If the Court approves the Stipulated Judgment, Respondents shall recognize the Stipulated Judgment as an enforceable obligation with a value of Two Hundred Ninety Two Million Dollars (\$292,000,000), which shall be paid from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (“RPTTF”) moneys to the Successor Agency. Such funds shall be paid from the Successor Agency to the City in satisfaction of the PSFA loan (the “**Stipulated Judgment Enforceable Obligation**”). DOF shall continue to abide by the Stipulated Judgment Enforceable Obligation, by approving payment by the Orange County auditor-controller of the full amount of RPTTF over to the Successor Agency, less the withholding of Four Million Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$4,380,000) per year described in paragraph 8 below, until such time as the Two Hundred Ninety Two Million Dollars (\$292,000,000) in RPTTF is fully paid over to the Successor Agency for payment to the City on the PSFA loan. No interest shall be paid on this sum. The total amount paid shall be Two Hundred Ninety Two Million Dollars (\$292,000,000) regardless of the time it takes to receive the payments.

5. Petitioners shall never again claim on any future ROPS or otherwise that the PSFA loan is an enforceable obligation of the former RDA; instead, the Stipulated Judgment shall be the item claimed, and recognized by Respondents, on future ROPS. Petitioners shall also never again claim on any future ROPS that the ARDA or Land Trust Agreement is an enforceable obligation of the former RDA.

6. Respondents shall never claim, in response to any future ROPS submission or otherwise, that the Stipulated Judgment is not an enforceable obligation under the Dissolution Act.

7. Petitioners shall request the dismissal with prejudice of the *City of Irvine v. Matosantos Case* in its entirety, and *Land Trust Case* in its entirety, within five (5) business days of the Court signing and entering the Stipulated Judgment in the *City of Irvine v. Cohen Case*.

8. Unless the Successor Agency directs otherwise, all RPTTF shall be applied to the Stipulated Judgment line item on the ROPS until the Stipulated Judgment is fully paid; provided, however, that Four Million Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$4,380,000) of RPTTF funds will be paid over to the taxing entities each fiscal year pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 34183(a)(4) until the Stipulated Judgment Enforceable Obligation is satisfied. Once the Stipulated Judgment Enforceable Obligation is satisfied, the limitation on residual payments to taxing entities will be lifted. The Four Million Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$4,380,000) per year will be sent to the taxing entities from the first RPTTF distribution that occurs each fiscal year (as specified in Paragraph 4, above); if there are insufficient funds in the first RPTTF distribution to send the full Four Million Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$4,380,000) per year from RPTTF to the taxing entities, the necessary remaining funds shall come from the second RPTTF distribution. If in a given year there is less than Four Million Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$4,380,000) available for this distribution of RPTTF payments to the taxing entities, the taxing entities shall receive whatever funds are available, and

the Successor Agency shall not receive any funds toward the satisfaction of the Stipulated Judgment during that year.

9. Upon receipt of RPTTF monies for payment of approved enforceable obligations in each ROPS period, the Successor Agency shall prioritize, pursuant to direction of the City as to any city-RDA loans, repayment of the Two Hundred Ninety Two Million Dollars (\$292,000,000) so that it is paid prior to, following, or concurrent with the other enforceable obligations payable under Health and Safety Code section 34183(a)(2)(C), including those qualifying as enforceable obligations pursuant to section 34191.4.

10. The Successor Agency and City agree that they will not challenge the determination of State Controller's Office in its April 28, 2014 audit with regard to the Five Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollar (\$5,500,000) interest payment made by the RDA to the City in March 2011. The City will return the Five Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$5,500,000) to the Successor Agency which will then submit it to the county auditor-controller, both of which shall occur within five (5) business days of the Court signing and entering the Stipulated Judgment. The county auditor-controller will thereafter distribute said funds to the taxing entities. Except as stated elsewhere in this paragraph, this Agreement and Stipulated Judgment does not resolve any other possible disputes between Petitioners and the State Controller's Office with respect to the April 28, 2014 audit.

11. The Parties shall each bear their respective attorney fees and costs incurred in the litigation, provided, however, that nothing in this agreement abridges the Successor Agency's rights (if any) to recover its legal fees under the Dissolution Act.

12. The Agreement and Stipulated Judgment do not constitute, nor shall they be construed as, an admission or concession by any of the Parties for any purpose. This Agreement is a compromise settlement of the Sacramento Actions, and by executing this Agreement, none of the Parties admits wrongdoing, liability, or fault in connection with either the Sacramento Actions or the allegations asserted in the Sacramento Actions. Respondents do not admit that Petitioners are entitled to any recovery. This Agreement does not reflect in any way on the merits of the claims asserted by Petitioners or the defenses asserted by the Respondents in the Sacramento Actions.

13. The Parties hereby specifically and mutually release and forever discharge each other, including their respective officers, directors, commission members, trustees, agents, employees, representatives, attorneys, insurers, departments, divisions, sections, successors and assigns, and each of them, from all obligations, damages, costs, expenses, liens, attorney fees of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, suspected or not suspected to exist, claimed or not claimed, disputed or undisputed, pertaining to the Sacramento Actions.

14. The Parties each represent and warrant that they fully understand that if the facts pertaining in any way to the Sacramento Actions are later found to be different from the facts now believed to be true by any Party, each of them expressly accepts and assumes the risk of such possible differences in facts and agrees that this Agreement and Stipulated Judgment shall remain effective notwithstanding such differences in facts.

15. This Agreement and Stipulated Judgment shall be binding upon the Parties' respective officers, directors, commission members, trustees, agents, employees, representatives, attorneys, departments, divisions, sections, successors and assigns, and each of them.

16. The Parties each represent that they know and understand the contents of the Agreement and Stipulated Judgment and that this Agreement and Stipulated Judgment have been executed voluntarily. The Parties each further represent that they have had an opportunity to consult with an attorney of their choosing and that they have been fully advised by the attorney with respect to their rights and obligations and with respect to the execution of this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment.

17. Except as indicated in the following sentence, no promise, inducement, understanding, or agreement not herein expressed has been made by or on behalf of the Parties, and this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment contain the entire agreement between the Parties related to the Sacramento Actions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties acknowledge that the City, Successor Agency, and the Land Trust have entered into, and will abide by, a *Dismissal Agreement In Connection with State of California Department of Finance Settlement Negotiations* ("Dismissal Agreement"), which sets forth certain obligations with regard to the disposition of the funds paid to the Successor Agency pursuant to the Stipulated Judgment; provided, however, that nothing in the Dismissal Agreement is binding upon the Respondents. Additionally, the City, Successor Agency, and Land Trust's obligations under this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment are separate and distinct from their obligations under the Dismissal Agreement.

18. Each Party represents and warrants that it has not assigned, transferred, or purported to assign or transfer to any person or entity any matter released herein. Petitioners also agree to indemnify and hold harmless Respondents and their successors and assigns against any claims, demands, causes of action, damages, debts, liabilities, costs or expenses, including, but not necessarily limited to, attorney fees, arising out of or in connection with any such transfer, assignment, or purported transfer or assignment.

19. It is expressly understood and agreed that this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment may not be altered, amended, modified, or otherwise changed in any respect whatsoever except by a writing duly executed by the Parties or by authorized representatives of the Parties. The Parties agree that they will make no claim at any time or place that this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment have been orally altered or modified or otherwise changed by oral communication of any kind or character.

20. This Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. If any Party to this Agreement or the Stipulated Judgment brings a lawsuit to enforce or interpret this Agreement or the Stipulated Judgment, the lawsuit shall be filed in the Superior Court for the County of Sacramento, California.

21. Each Party represents that they have the authority to enter into and perform the obligations necessary to provide the consideration described in this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment.

22. Each person signing this Agreement represents and warrants that they have the authority to sign on behalf of the Party for which they sign.

23. The Parties recognize and acknowledge that terminology, the number of ROPS cycles per year, and/or other mechanical aspects of the wind-down of redevelopment pursuant to the Dissolution Act (as it may be amended from time to time), may change during the term of this Agreement. To address those changes, the Parties agree that their intent under this Agreement and the Stipulated Judgment is that the City receive, on an annual basis, all of the available RPTTF (or its functional equivalent), less Four Million Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$4,380,000) per year, until such time as the full Two Hundred Ninety Two Million Dollars (\$292,000,000) has been paid over to the Successor Agency for distribution by the Successor Agency to the City in satisfaction of the PSFA.

24. The Parties agree to take such further actions as are necessary to accomplish the delivery of the consideration provided for under this Agreement. In furtherance of the foregoing, upon the submittal to DOF of a resolution of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency approving this Agreement, DOF shall within five (5) business days approve such resolution. Further, if such resolution has been submitted to DOF prior to the Court's entry of the Stipulated Judgment, the Court's entry of the Stipulated Judgment shall constitute DOF's approval of such resolution. If the Oversight Board does not approve this Agreement within ninety (90) days of the date this Agreement is last signed by any Party, this Agreement shall be null and void *ab initio*, without further action of any Party. Petitioners may then elect not to dismiss the Sacramento Actions and, instead, proceed to prosecute them.

25. If any Party to this Agreement or Stipulated Judgment files a lawsuit to enforce or interpret this Agreement or Stipulated Judgment, the prevailing Party in any such suit shall be entitled to reimbursement for reasonable attorney fees for which the Party was invoiced and that the Party paid.

26. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which will be an original and all of which shall constitute a part of this Agreement.

This Agreement consists of Recital Paragraphs A - F and Paragraphs 1 – 26.

[SIGNATURES BEGIN ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

CITY OF IRVINE

DATED: July 9, 2014



By: Dr. Steven Choi
Mayor

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

DATED: July 9, 2014



By: Dr. Steven Choi
Director

IRVINE COMMUNITY LAND TRUST

DATED: July 9, 2014



By: Mark Asturias
Executive Director

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND MICHAEL COHEN,
AS DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

DATED: _____

By: _____
Kari Krogseng
Assistant Chief Counsel

Approved as to form:

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP



Jeffrey T. Melching
Attorneys for Petitioners City of Irvine and Successor Agency

[SIGNATURES CONTINUE, AND CONCLUDE, ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

CITY OF IRVINE

DATED: _____

By: _____
Dr. Steven Choi
Mayor

SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

DATED: _____

By: _____
Dr. Steven Choi
Director

IRVINE COMMUNITY LAND TRUST

DATED: _____

By: _____
Mark Asturias
Executive Director

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND MICHAEL COHEN,
AS DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

DATED: July 9, 2014

By: _____
Kari Krogseng
Kari Krogseng
Assistant Chief Counsel


Approved as to form:

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP

Jeffrey T. Melching
Attorneys for Petitioners City of Irvine and Successor Agency

[SIGNATURES CONTINUE, AND CONCLUDE, ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

HENSLEY LAW GROUP



David King
Land Trust Special Counsel


CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Seth E. Goldstein
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Respondents

HENSLEY LAW GROUP

David King
Land Trust Special Counsel

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL



Seth E. Goldstein
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Respondents

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 24-25) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025 Period

Successor Agency:	Irvine
County:	Orange

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	24-25A Total (July - December)	24-25B Total (January - June)	ROPS 24-25 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	-	-	-
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G):	\$ 24,930,627	\$ 17,780,627	\$ 42,711,253
F RPTTF	24,890,627	17,740,627	42,631,253
G Administrative RPTTF	40,000	40,000	80,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$ 24,930,627	\$ 17,780,627	\$ 42,711,253

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Name	Title
/s/	Date
Signature	Date

Irvine Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 24-25) - ROPS Detail
July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	24-25A (July - December)					Q	24-25B (January - June)					W	
											Fund Sources						Fund Sources						
											L	M	N	O	P		R	S	T	U	V		
																							Bond Proceeds
Item #	Project Name/Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	ROPS 24-25 Total						24-25A Total						24-25B Total	
4	Implementation Agreement No. 1	Miscellaneous	3/8/2005	6/30/2052	Orange County	County facility payment	OCGP	\$ 42,711,253	N	\$ 42,711,253	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,890,627	\$ 40,000	\$ 24,930,627	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17,740,627	\$ 40,000	\$ 17,780,627	
5	Implementation Agreement No. 2	Miscellaneous	8/17/2010	6/30/2052	Orange County	Reconstruct or replace flood control facilities	OCGP	\$ 6,500,000	N	\$ 6,500,000				\$ 6,500,000		\$ 6,500,000							
12	Cooperation agreement	Admin Costs	3/27/2012	6/30/2014	City of Irvine	Financial, personnel and other support	OCGP	\$ 80,000	N	\$ 80,000				\$ 40,000		\$ 40,000					\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	
18	Stipulated Judgment Enforceable Obligation	Miscellaneous	7/9/2014	6/30/2050	City of Irvine	Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims dated July 9, 2014 pending court approval of Stipulated Judgment.	OCGP	\$ 35,481,253	N	\$ 35,481,253				\$ 17,740,627		\$ 17,740,627				\$ 17,740,627		\$ 17,740,627	
23									N	\$ -					\$ -							\$ -	
24									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
25									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
26									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
27									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
28									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
29									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
30									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
31									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
32									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
33									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
34									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
35									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
36									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
37									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
38									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
39									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
40									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
41									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
42									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
43									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
44									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
45									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
46									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
47									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
48									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
49									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
50									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
51									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
52									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
53									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
54									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
55									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
56									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
57									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
58									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
59									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
60									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
61									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
62									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
63									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
64									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
65									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
66									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
67									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
68									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
69									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
70									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
71									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
72									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
73									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
74									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
75									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
76									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
77									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
78									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
79									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
80									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
81									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
82									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
83									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
84									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
85									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
86									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
87									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
88									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
89									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
90									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
91									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
92									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
93									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
94									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
95									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -
96									N	\$ -						\$ -							\$ -

Irvine
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 24-25) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (l), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
		Fund Sources					
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance	Other	RPTTF	
	ROPS 20-21 Cash Balances (07/01/21 - 06/30/22)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS RPTTF AND Reserve Balances retained for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/21) RPTTF amount should exclude "A" period distribution amount.				128,903	191,931	21-22 PPA amount - DOF letter
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/22) RPTTF amount should tie to the ROPS 20-21 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller				112,586	46,819,472	21-22A Payment - \$13,950,199 21-22B Payment - \$32,869,273 Total 21-22A&B Payments = \$46,819,472 (includes \$100,000 for Admin Budget)
3	Expenditures for ROPS 21-22 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/22)					46,739,058	Enforceable obligation - \$40,400,450 Implementation payment - \$6,317,970.92 Admin Cost - \$20,637.13 Total Expenditures = \$46,739,058.05
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/22) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s).						Include all prior PPAs not yet applied to obligation
5	ROPS 21-22 RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's ROPS 21-22 PPA form submitted to the CAC				No entry required		272,345
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/22) C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 241,489	\$ -	

CITY OF IRVINE, AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY
TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Proposed Administrative Budget
July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025

Estimated Administrative Costs:

Administrative Expenses – staff personnel costs for City employees carrying out the dissolution functions, legal expenses, and audit fees	\$65,000
Administrative overhead, duplicating, materials, and supplies	\$15,000
<u>Total Proposed Administrative Budget</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed Source(s) of Payment:

Administrative cost allowance	\$80,000
<u>Total Proposed Sources of Payment</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed arrangement for administrative and operations services provided by the City:

City employees formerly assigned to redevelopment functions will continue to staff the administrative functions associated with the dissolution of the redevelopment agency. Dissolution costs will be recorded within the General Fund, but separately from other City functions. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34171(b), the Successor Agency is entitled to receive an administrative cost allowance of up to 3% of the money from the Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund that is allocated to the Successor Agency for each fiscal year, but in no event less than \$250,000 per fiscal year.

**City of Irvine Successor Agency
Proposed ROPS 24-25 Administrative Budget**

Administrative Budget	Description	Estimated Cost
Attorney Fees	Counsel for Successor Agency	\$25,000
Consultant Fees	Annual audits for financial statement	\$25,000
Administrative Overhead	Share of Civic Center operating costs (e.g., IT technology, utilities, maintenance)	\$10,000
Materials and Supplies	Duplicating, postage, printing, office supplies	\$5,000
SUBTOTAL:		\$65,000

Position	Duties	Salaries and Benefits Estimated Cost
Senior Management Analyst	Develops annual ROPS and administrative budget for submission to County Oversight Board and Dept. of Finance; prepares staff reports for Successor Agency meetings; manages distribution of RPTTF funding per agreements; processes invoices for Successor Agency	\$13,239
Executive Assistant III	Prepares Successor Agency agenda items	\$856
Administrative Secretary III	Assists with preparation of Successor Agency documents	\$904
SUBTOTAL:		\$14,998
TOTAL:		\$80,000



**CITY OF IRVINE
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
MINUTE ORDER OF CITY COUNCIL ACTION**

The City Council of the City of Irvine, at a regular meeting held on November 28, 2023, took the following action:

4.2 ADOPTION OF RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE OF THE FORMER IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, FOR JULY 1, 2024 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2025

ACTION:

- 1) Adopted the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule of the former Irvine Redevelopment Agency for July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025, and authorize revisions to the reporting format, if needed, to comply with potential form changes by the State of California Department of Finance.
- 2) Adopted the Administrative Budget for the Successor Agency for July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025.

The motion carried by the following vote:

AYES:	5	COUNCILMEMBERS:	Agran, Carroll, Kim, Treseder, and Khan
NOES:	0	COUNCILMEMBERS:	None
ABSENT:	0	COUNCILMEMBERS:	None
ABSTAIN:	0	COUNCILMEMBERS:	None

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF ORANGE) ss
CITY OF IRVINE)

I, CARL PETERSEN, City Clerk of the City of Irvine, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is the true and correct action taken at a regular meeting of the Irvine City Council held on the 28th day of November 2023.

Carl Petersen, MPA, CMC
City Clerk


DATE: December 1, 2023

The foregoing was passed and adopted by the following vote of the Orange Countywide Oversight Board on TUESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2022

YES: STEVE FRANKS, STEVE JONES, ANIL KUKREJA, BRIAN PROBOLSKY, DEAN WEST

NOES:
EXCUSED: CHARLES BARFIELD, PHILLIP E. YARBROUGH

ABSTAINED:




BRIAN PROBOLSKY
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
)
COUNTY OF ORANGE)

I, KATHY TAVOULARIS, Clerk of the Orange Countywide Oversight Board, Orange County, California, hereby certify that a copy of this document has been delivered to the Chairman of the Board and that the above and foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my hand.



KATHY TAVOULARIS
Clerk
Orange Countywide Oversight Board

Resolution No: 22-006

Agenda Date: Tuesday, January 18, 2022

Item No: 5e

**RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD
RESOLUTION NO. 22-006**

A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD WITH
OVERSIGHT OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF IRVINE, CALIFORNIA, *APPROVING
THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE [ROPS] 2022-23 A-B FOR THE
ANNUAL FISCAL PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2022 TO JUNE 30, 2023, INCLUDING THE FY
2022-23 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET, SUBJECT TO SUBMITTAL TO, AND REVIEW BY
THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE [DOF] PURSUANT TO DISSOLUTION LAW,
AND AUTHORIZING POSTING AND TRANSMITTAL THEREOF*

WHEREAS, the Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“Former Agency”) was established as a community redevelopment agency that was previously organized and existing under the California Community Redevelopment Law, Health and Safety Code Section 33000, *et seq.*, and previously authorized to transact business and exercise powers of a redevelopment agency pursuant to action of the City Council of the City of Irvine (“City”); and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill x1 26 added Parts 1.8 and 1.85 to Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code, which caused the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies and wind down of the affairs of former agencies, including as such laws were amended by Assembly Bill 1484 and by other subsequent legislation (“Dissolution Law”); and

WHEREAS, as of February 1, 2012 the Agency was dissolved pursuant to the Dissolution Law, and as a separate public entity, corporate and policy the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“Successor Agency”) administers the enforcement obligations of the Former Agency and otherwise unwinds the Former Agency’s affairs, all subject to the review and approval by a seven-member oversight board; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179(j) on July 1, 2018 the Orange Countywide Oversight Board (“Oversight Board”) has jurisdiction over the Successor Agency and all other successor agencies in Orange County; and

WHEREAS, every oversight board, both the prior local oversight board and this newly established Orange Countywide Oversight Board, have fiduciary responsibilities to the holders of enforceable obligations and to the taxing entities that benefit from distributions of property tax and other revenues pursuant to Section 34188 of the Dissolution Law; and

WHEREAS, Section 34177(m), 34177(o) and 34179 provide that each ROPS is submitted to, review and approved by the Successor Agency and then reviewed and approved by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board final review and approval by the State Department of Finance (“DOF”); and

WHEREAS, Section 34177(l) and 34177(o) of the Dissolution Law requires that the annual ROPS for the 2022-23 A-B fiscal period of July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023 (“ROPS 2022-23 A-B”) shall be submitted to the DOF by the Successor Agency, after approval by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board, no later than February 1, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the ROPS 2022-23, in the form required by DOF, is attached as Exhibit A and the Fiscal Year (“FY”) 2022-23 Administrative Budget is attached as Exhibit B, and both attachments are fully incorporated by this reference; and

WHEREAS, the Orange Countywide Oversight Board has reviewed and considered the Successor Agency’s ROPS 2022-23 A-B and desires to approve it and authorize and direct the Successor Agency staff to transmit the ROPS 2022-23 A-B to the DOF, with copies to the County Executive Officer (“CEO”), County Auditor-Controller (“CAC”), and the State Controller’s Office (“SCO”) as required under the Dissolution Law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD:

SECTION 1. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference, and constitute a material part of this Resolution.

SECTION 2. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board hereby approves ROPS 2022-23 A-B submitted therewith and incorporated by this reference, including the FY 2022-23 administrative budget included herewith.

SECTION 3. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board authorizes transmittal of the ROPS 2022-23 A-B to the DOF, with copies to the CEO, the CAC, and the SCO.

SECTION 4. The City of Irvine’s Finance Director or authorized designee is directed to post this Resolution, including the ROPS 2022-23 A-B, on the City/Successor Agency website pursuant to the Dissolution Law.

SECTION 5. Under Section 34179(h), written notice and information about certain actions taken by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board shall be provided to the DOF by electronic means and in a manner of DOF’s choosing. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board’s action shall become effective five (5) business days after notice in the manner specified by the DOF unless the DOF requests a review.

SECTION 6. The Clerk of the Board shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.


Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 22-23) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023 Period

Successor Agency: Irvine
 County: Orange

	22-23A Total (July - December)	22-23B Total (January - June)	ROPS 22-23 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	-	-	-
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G):	\$ 72,357,471	\$ 66,357,471	\$ 138,714,942
F RPTTF	72,317,471	66,317,471	138,634,942
G Administrative RPTTF	40,000	40,000	80,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$ 72,357,471	\$ 66,357,471	\$ 138,714,942

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
 Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I
 hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized
 Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor
 agency.

Name Brian Robolsky, Chairman Title _____
 Signature  Date 1-18-22

Irvine Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 19-20) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balances Form, see [Cash Balance Tips Sheet](#)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	
		Fund Sources							
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other	RPTTF		
	Cash Balance Information for ROPS 19-20 Actuals (07/01/19 - 06/30/20)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS period balances and DDR RPTTF balances retained	Prior ROPS RPTTF distributed as reserve for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments	
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/19) - SHOULD INCLUDE PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT, IF ANY, THAT REDUCED RPTTF DISTRIBUTIONS FOR THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD (DO NOT INCLUDE ROPS A PERIOD DISTRIBUTION)					76,934	1,192,907	Beginning cash balance - \$1,269,840.69 Less the interest for 17-18 (\$47,616) and 18-19 (\$29,318) = \$1,192,907	
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/20) RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 19-20 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller.					83,353	42,290,564	19-20A Payment - \$12,066,877 19-20B Payment - \$30,223,687 Total 19-20A&B Payments = \$42,290,564 (includes \$250,000 for Admin Budget)	
3	Expenditures for ROPS 19-20 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/20)						40,341,194	Enforceable obligation - \$37,707,089 Implementation payment - \$2,612,088 Admin Cost - \$22,017 Total Expenditures = \$40,341,194	
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/20) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)								
5	ROPS 19-20 RPTTF Balances Remaining - RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's PPA form submitted to the CAC for the current period.	No entry required						1,949,370	
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/20) C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 160,287	\$ 1,192,907		

CITY OF IRVINE, AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY
TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Proposed Administrative Budget
July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023

Estimated Administrative Costs:

Administrative Expenses – staff personnel costs for City employees carrying out the dissolution functions, legal expenses, and audit fees	\$66,180
Administrative overhead, duplicating, materials, and supplies	\$13,820
<u>Total Proposed Administrative Budget</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed Source(s) of Payment:

Administrative cost allowance	\$80,000
<u>Total Proposed Sources of Payment</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed arrangement for administrative and operations services provided by the City:

City employees formerly assigned to redevelopment functions will continue to staff the administrative functions associated with the dissolution of the redevelopment agency. Dissolution costs will be recorded within the General Fund, but separately from other City functions. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34171(b), the Successor Agency is entitled to receive an administrative cost allowance of up to 3% of the money from the Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund that is allocated to the Successor Agency for each fiscal year, but in no event less than \$250,000 per fiscal year.

**City of Irvine Successor Agency
Administrative Budget
ROPS 22-23**

Administrative Budget	Description	Estimated Cost
Attorney Fees	Counsel for Successor Agency	\$15,000
Consultant Fees	Annual audits for financial statement	\$15,000
Administrative Overhead	Share of Civic Center operating costs (e.g., IT technology, utilities, maintenance)	\$10,000
Materials and Supplies	Duplicating, postage, printing, office supplies	\$3,820
SUBTOTAL:		\$43,820

Position	Duties	Salaries and Benefits Estimated Cost
Director of Financial Management and Strategic Planning	Oversees Successor Agency administration	\$3,397
Finance Officer	Oversees RPTTF funding distribution per agreements	\$5,463
Senior Management Analyst	Develops annual ROPS and administrative budget for submission to County Oversight Board and Dept. of Finance; prepares staff reports for Successor Agency meetings; manages distribution of RPTTF funding per agreements; processes invoices for Successor Agency	\$22,942
Finance Administrator	Tracks RPTTF funding received	\$1,766
Management Analyst I	Prepares Successor Agency agenda items	\$1,407
Administrative Secretary	Assists with preparation of Successor Agency documents	\$1,204
SUBTOTAL:		\$36,180
TOTAL:		\$80,000

The foregoing was passed and adopted by the following vote of the Orange Countywide Oversight Board on TUESDAY, JANUARY 17, 2023


YES: CHARLES BARFIELD, STEVE JONES, ANIL KUKREJA,
DEAN WEST, PHILLIP E. YARBROUGH

NOES:

EXCUSED:

STEVE FRANKS, BRIAN PROBOLSKY

ABSTAINED:




BRIAN PROBOLSKY
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
)
COUNTY OF ORANGE)

I, KATHY TAVOULARIS, Clerk of the Orange Countywide Oversight Board, Orange County, California, hereby certify that a copy of this document has been delivered to the Chairman of the Board and that the above and foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my hand.



KATHY TAVOULARIS
Clerk
Orange Countywide Oversight Board

Resolution No: 23-005

Agenda Date: Tuesday, January 17, 2023

Item No: 5d

**RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD
RESOLUTION NO. 23-005**

A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD WITH
OVERSIGHT OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF IRVINE, CALIFORNIA *APPROVING THE
RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE [ROPS] 2023-24 A-B FOR THE
ANNUAL FISCAL PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2023 TO JUNE 30, 2024, INCLUDING THE FY
2023-24 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET, SUBJECT TO SUBMITTAL TO, AND REVIEW BY
THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE [DOF] PURSUANT TO DISSOLUTION LAW,
AND AUTHORIZING POSTING AND TRANSMITTAL THEREOF*

WHEREAS, the Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“Former Agency”) was established as a community redevelopment agency that was previously organized and existing under the California Community Redevelopment Law, Health and Safety Code Section 33000, *et seq.*, and previously authorized to transact business and exercise powers of a redevelopment agency pursuant to action of the City Council of the City of Irvine (“City”); and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill x1 26 added Parts 1.8 and 1.85 to Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code, which caused the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies and wind down of the affairs of former agencies, including as such laws were amended by Assembly Bill 1484 and by other subsequent legislation (“Dissolution Law”); and

WHEREAS, as of February 1, 2012 the Agency was dissolved pursuant to the Dissolution Law, and as a separate public entity, corporate and policy the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Irvine Redevelopment Agency (“Successor Agency”) administers the enforcement obligations of the Former Agency and otherwise unwinds the Former Agency’s affairs, all subject to the review and approval by a seven-member oversight board; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179(j) on July 1, 2018 the Orange Countywide Oversight Board (“Oversight Board”) has jurisdiction over the Successor Agency and all other successor agencies in Orange County; and

WHEREAS, every oversight board, both the prior local oversight board and this newly established Orange Countywide Oversight Board, have fiduciary responsibilities to the holders of enforceable obligations and to the taxing entities that benefit from distributions of property tax and other revenues pursuant to Section 34188 of the Dissolution Law; and

WHEREAS, Section 34177(m), 34177(o) and 34179 provide that each ROPS is submitted to, review and approved by the Successor Agency and then reviewed and approved by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board final review and approval by the State Department of Finance (“DOF”); and

WHEREAS, Section 34177(l) and 34177(o) of the Dissolution Law requires that the annual ROPS for the 2023-24 A-B fiscal period of July 1, 2023 to June 30, 2024 (“ROPS 2023-24 A-B”) shall be submitted to the DOF by the Successor Agency, after approval by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board, no later than February 1, 2023; and

WHEREAS, the ROPS 2023-24, in the form required by DOF, is attached as Exhibit A and the Fiscal Year (“FY”) 2023-24 Administrative Budget is attached as Exhibit B, and both attachments are fully incorporated by this reference; and

WHEREAS, the Orange Countywide Oversight Board has reviewed and considered the Successor Agency’s ROPS 2023-24 A-B and desires to approve it and authorize and direct the Successor Agency staff to transmit the ROPS 2023-24 A-B to the DOF, with copies to the County Executive Officer (“CEO”), County Auditor-Controller (“CAC”), and the State Controller’s Office (“SCO”) as required under the Dissolution Law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD:

SECTION 1. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference, and constitute a material part of this Resolution.

SECTION 2. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board hereby approves ROPS 2023-24 A-B submitted therewith and incorporated by this reference, including the FY 2023-24 administrative budget included herewith.

SECTION 3. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board authorizes transmittal of the ROPS 2023-24 A-B to the DOF, with copies to the CEO, the CAC, and the SCO.

SECTION 4. The City of Irvine’s Finance Director or authorized designee is directed to post this Resolution, including the ROPS 2023-24 A-B, on the City/Successor Agency website pursuant to the Dissolution Law.

SECTION 5. Under Section 34179(h), written notice and information about certain actions taken by the Orange Countywide Oversight Board shall be provided to the DOF by electronic means and in a manner of DOF’s choosing. The Orange Countywide Oversight Board’s action shall become effective five (5) business days after notice in the manner specified by the DOF unless the DOF requests a review.

SECTION 6. The Clerk of the Board shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.


Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 23-24) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024 Period

Successor Agency: Irvine
 County: Orange

	23-24A Total (July - December)	23-24B Total (January - June)	ROPS 23-24 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D):			
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	-	-	-
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G):			
F RPTTF	50,847,678 \$	43,847,678 \$	94,695,356
G Administrative RPTTF	40,000	40,000	80,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):			
	50,847,678 \$	43,847,678 \$	94,695,356

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
 Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I
 hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized
 Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor
 agency.

Brian Probolsky, Chairman
 Name Title
 /s/  Signature Date

Invine Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 23-24) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (l), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balances Form, see [Cash Balance Tips Sheet](#)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I				
									Fund Sources			
									Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other	RPTTF
Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Prior ROPS period balances and DDR RPTTF balances retained	Prior ROPS RPTTF distributed as reserve for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin								
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/20)							Beginning cash balance \$3,302,564, less interest of \$83,353 equals \$3,219,211				
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/21)					83,353	3,219,211	20-21A Payment - \$14,262,085 20-21B Payment - \$31,423,486 Total 20-21A&B Payments = \$45,685,571 (includes \$150,000 for Admin Budget)				
3	Expenditures for ROPS 20-21 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/21)					128,903	45,685,571	Enforceable obligation - \$38,882,497 Implementation payment - \$3,255,476.69 Admin Cost - \$19,074.97 Total Expenditures = \$42,157,048.66				
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/21)						42,157,049					
5	ROPS 20-21 RPTTF Balances Remaining											
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/21) C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	3,528,522				

Include all prior PPAs not yet applied to obligation

CITY OF IRVINE, AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY
TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Proposed Administrative Budget
July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024

Estimated Administrative Costs:

Administrative Expenses – staff personnel costs for City employees carrying out the dissolution functions, legal expenses, and audit fees	\$66,300
Administrative overhead, duplicating, materials, and supplies	\$13,700
<u>Total Proposed Administrative Budget</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed Source(s) of Payment:

Administrative cost allowance	\$80,000
<u>Total Proposed Sources of Payment</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed arrangement for administrative and operations services provided by the City:

City employees formerly assigned to redevelopment functions will continue to staff the administrative functions associated with the dissolution of the redevelopment agency. Dissolution costs will be recorded within the General Fund, but separately from other City functions. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34171(b), the Successor Agency is entitled to receive an administrative cost allowance of up to 3% of the money from the Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund that is allocated to the Successor Agency for each fiscal year, but in no event less than \$250,000 per fiscal year.

**City of Irvine Successor Agency
Proposed ROPS 23-24 Administrative Budget**

Administrative Budget	Description	Estimated Cost
Attorney Fees	Counsel for Successor Agency	\$15,000
Consultant Fees	Annual audits for financial statement	\$19,500
Administrative Overhead	Share of Civic Center operating costs (e.g., IT technology, utilities, maintenance)	\$10,000
Materials and Supplies	Duplicating, postage, printing, office supplies	\$3,700
SUBTOTAL:		\$48,200

Position	Duties	Salaries and Benefits Estimated Cost
Director of Financial Management and Strategic Planning	Oversees Successor Agency administration	\$3,498
Finance Officer	Oversees RPTTF funding distribution per agreements	\$5,567
Finance Administrator	Tracks RPTTF funding received	\$844
Senior Management Analyst	Develops annual ROPS and administrative budget for submission to County Oversight Board and Dept. of Finance; prepares staff reports for Successor Agency meetings; manages distribution of RPTTF funding per agreements; processes invoices for Successor Agency	\$18,694
Management Analyst I	Prepares Successor Agency agenda items	\$1,663
Administrative Secretary	Assists with preparation of Successor Agency documents	\$1,533
SUBTOTAL:		\$31,798
TOTAL:		\$80,000



Transmitted via e-mail

April 13, 2022

Angie Burgh, Senior Management Analyst
City of Irvine
1 Civic Center Plaza
Irvine, CA 92623

2022-23 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Irvine Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023 (ROPS 22-23) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 24, 2022. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 22-23.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 18 – Stipulated Judgment in the total outstanding amount of \$132,634,942 is partially approved. It is our understanding the reported total outstanding obligation amount of \$132,634,942 did not account for the payment of \$32,819,273 received in the ROPS 21-22B period. As such, the total outstanding obligation is overstated by \$32,819,273. Therefore, to accurately reflect the total outstanding obligation, Finance decreased the total outstanding obligation by \$32,819,273 to \$99,815,669. In addition, the requested amount of \$132,634,942 was decreased by \$32,819,273, approving a total of \$99,815,669 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.
- On the ROPS 22-23 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$83,353 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 22-23. HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF funding. The item below does not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
 - Item No. 18 – Stipulated Judgment in the amount of \$99,815,669 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$99,732,316 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$83,353, totaling \$99,815,669. Total adjustments to Item No. 18 equals \$32,902,626 (\$32,819,273 + \$83,353).

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 19-20 period. The ROPS 19-20 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 22-23 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$102,564,653, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 22-23 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance approves the remaining items listed on the ROPS 22-23 at this time. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 22-23, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 22-23. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 22-23 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 22-23 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

Angie Burgh
April 13, 2022
Page 3

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Todd Vermillion, Supervisor, or Garrett Fujitani, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,



JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Teri Washle, Finance Officer, City of Irvine
Christopher Ranftl, Administrative Manager I, Property Tax Unit, Orange County

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2022 through June 2023			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 72,317,471	\$ 66,317,471	\$ 138,634,942
Administrative RPTTF Requested	40,000	40,000	80,000
Total RPTTF Requested	72,357,471	66,357,471	138,714,942
RPTTF Requested	72,317,471	66,317,471	138,634,942
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 18	(16,492,990)	(16,409,636)	(32,902,626)
RPTTF Authorized	55,824,481	49,907,835	105,732,316
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	40,000	40,000	80,000
ROPS 19-20 prior period adjustment (PPA)	(3,247,663)	0	(3,247,663)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 52,616,818	\$ 49,947,835	\$ 102,564,653



Transmitted via e-mail

April 14, 2023

Angie Burgh, Senior Management Analyst
City of Irvine
1 Civic Center Plaza
Irvine, CA 92623

2023-24 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Irvine Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024 (ROPS 23-24) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 26, 2023. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 23-24.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 18 – Stipulated Judgment in the total outstanding amount of \$87,615,356 is partially approved. It is our understanding the reported outstanding obligation amount of \$87,615,356 did not account for all of the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) received during the July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023 (ROPS 22-23) period. The Agency provided documentation that supports an outstanding balance of \$46,923,862. As such, the outstanding obligation on ROPS 23-24 is overstated by \$40,691,494 (\$87,615,356 - \$46,923,862). Therefore, Finance decreased the requested RPTTF amount by \$40,691,494.
- On the ROPS 23-24 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) period. According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$128,903 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 23-24. HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF. The item below does not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following items have been reclassified in the amounts specified below:
 - Item No. 18 - Stipulated Judgment in the adjusted amount of \$46,923,862 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$46,794,959 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$128,903, totaling \$46,923,862.

Total adjustments in RPTTF funding to Item No. 18 equals \$40,820,397 (\$40,691,494 + \$128,903).

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 20-21 period. The ROPS 20-21 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 23-24 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$49,172,845, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2024 through June 30, 2024 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 23-24 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance approves the remaining items listed on the ROPS 23-24 at this time. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 23-24, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 23-24. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 23-24 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 23-24 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Angie Burgh
April 14, 2023
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Todd Vermillion, Supervisor, or Garrett Fujitani, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,


for JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Teri Washle, Finance Officer, City of Irvine
Christopher Ranftl, Administrative Manager I, Property Tax Unit, Orange County
Kathy Tavoularis, Countywide Oversight Board Representative

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2023 through June 2024			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 50,807,678	\$ 43,807,678	\$ 94,615,356
Administrative RPTTF Requested	40,000	40,000	80,000
Total RPTTF Requested	50,847,678	43,847,678	94,695,356
RPTTF Requested	50,807,678	43,807,678	94,615,356
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 18	(20,474,650)	(20,345,747)	(40,820,397)
RPTTF Authorized	30,333,028	23,461,931	53,794,959
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	40,000	40,000	80,000
ROPS 20-21 Prior Period Adjustment (PPA)	(4,702,114)	0	(4,702,114)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 25,670,914	\$ 23,501,931	\$ 49,172,845

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 22-23) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023 Period

Successor Agency: Irvine
 County: Orange

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	22-23A Total (July - December)	22-23B Total (January - June)	ROPS 22-23 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	-	-	-
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G):	\$ 72,357,471	\$ 66,357,471	\$ 138,714,942
F RPTTF	72,317,471	66,317,471	138,634,942
G Administrative RPTTF	40,000	40,000	80,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$ 72,357,471	\$ 66,357,471	\$ 138,714,942

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
 Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Brian Probolsky, chairman
 Name _____ Title _____
 /s/ *[Signature]* _____ Date *1-18-22*

ATTACHMENT 1

ATTACHMENT 12

Irvine Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 19-20) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balances Form, see [Cash Balance Tips Sheet](#)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	
		Fund Sources							
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other	RPTTF		
	Cash Balance Information for ROPS 19-20 Actuals (07/01/19 - 06/30/20)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS period balances and DDR RPTTF balances retained	Prior ROPS RPTTF distributed as reserve for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments	
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/19) - SHOULD INCLUDE PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT, IF ANY, THAT REDUCED RPTTF DISTRIBUTIONS FOR THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD (DO NOT INCLUDE ROPS A PERIOD DISTRIBUTION)					76,934	1,192,907	Beginning cash balance - \$1,269,840.69 Less the interest for 17-18 (\$47,616) and 18-19 (\$29,318) = \$1,192,907	
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/20) RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 19-20 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller.					83,353	42,290,564	19-20A Payment - \$12,066,877 19-20B Payment - \$30,223,687 Total 19-20A&B Payments = \$42,290,564 (includes \$250,000 for Admin Budget)	
3	Expenditures for ROPS 19-20 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/20)						40,341,194	Enforceable obligation - \$37,707,089 Implementation payment - \$2,612,088 Admin Cost - \$22,017 Total Expenditures = \$40,341,194	
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/20) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)								
5	ROPS 19-20 RPTTF Balances Remaining - RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's PPA form submitted to the CAC for the current period.	No entry required						1,949,370	
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/20) C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)								
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 160,287	\$ 1,192,907		

CITY OF IRVINE, AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY
TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Proposed Administrative Budget
July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023

Estimated Administrative Costs:

Administrative Expenses – staff personnel costs for City employees carrying out the dissolution functions, legal expenses, and audit fees	\$66,180
Administrative overhead, duplicating, materials, and supplies	\$13,820
<u>Total Proposed Administrative Budget</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed Source(s) of Payment:

Administrative cost allowance	\$80,000
<u>Total Proposed Sources of Payment</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed arrangement for administrative and operations services provided by the City:

City employees formerly assigned to redevelopment functions will continue to staff the administrative functions associated with the dissolution of the redevelopment agency. Dissolution costs will be recorded within the General Fund, but separately from other City functions. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34171(b), the Successor Agency is entitled to receive an administrative cost allowance of up to 3% of the money from the Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund that is allocated to the Successor Agency for each fiscal year, but in no event less than \$250,000 per fiscal year.

**City of Irvine Successor Agency
Administrative Budget
ROPS 22-23**

Administrative Budget	Description	Estimated Cost
Attorney Fees	Counsel for Successor Agency	\$15,000
Consultant Fees	Annual audits for financial statement	\$15,000
Administrative Overhead	Share of Civic Center operating costs (e.g., IT technology, utilities, maintenance)	\$10,000
Materials and Supplies	Duplicating, postage, printing, office supplies	\$3,820
SUBTOTAL:		\$43,820

Position	Duties	Salaries and Benefits Estimated Cost
Director of Financial Management and Strategic Planning	Oversees Successor Agency administration	\$3,397
Finance Officer	Oversees RPTTF funding distribution per agreements	\$5,463
Senior Management Analyst	Develops annual ROPS and administrative budget for submission to County Oversight Board and Dept. of Finance; prepares staff reports for Successor Agency meetings; manages distribution of RPTTF funding per agreements; processes invoices for Successor Agency	\$22,942
Finance Administrator	Tracks RPTTF funding received	\$1,766
Management Analyst I	Prepares Successor Agency agenda items	\$1,407
Administrative Secretary	Assists with preparation of Successor Agency documents	\$1,204
SUBTOTAL:		\$36,180
TOTAL:		\$80,000


Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 23-24) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024 Period

Successor Agency: Irvine
 County: Orange

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	23-24A Total (July - December)	23-24B Total (January - June)	ROPS 23-24 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	-	-	-
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G):	\$ 50,847,678	\$ 43,847,678	\$ 94,695,356
F RPTTF	50,807,678	43,807,678	94,615,356
G Administrative RPTTF	40,000	40,000	80,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$ 50,847,678	\$ 43,847,678	\$ 94,695,356

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
 Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Brian Probolsky, Chairman
 Name Title
 /s/  Date
 Signature Date

ATTACHMENT I

ATTACHMENT 13

Irvine Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 23-24) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balances Form, see [Cash Balance Tips Sheet](#)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	
		Fund Sources							
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other	RPTTF		
	Cash Balance Information for ROPS 20-21 Actuals (07/01/20 - 06/30/21)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS period balances and DDR RPTTF balances retained	Prior ROPS RPTTF distributed as reserve for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments	
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/20)					83,353	3,219,211	Beginning cash balance \$3,302,564, less interest of \$83,353 equals \$3,219,211	
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/21)					128,903	45,685,571	20-21A Payment - \$14,262,085 20-21B Payment - \$31,423,486 Total 20-21A&B Payments = \$45,685,571 (includes \$150,000 for Admin Budget)	
3	Expenditures for ROPS 20-21 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/21)						42,157,049	Enforceable obligation - \$38,882,497 Implementation payment - \$3,255,476.69 Admin Cost - \$19,074.97 Total Expenditures = \$42,157,048.66	
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/21)							Include all prior PPAs not yet applied to obligation	
5	ROPS 20-21 RPTTF Balances Remaining	No entry required						3,528,522	
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/21) C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 212,256	\$ 3,219,211		

CITY OF IRVINE, AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY
TO THE DISSOLVED IRVINE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Proposed Administrative Budget
July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024

Estimated Administrative Costs:

Administrative Expenses – staff personnel costs for City employees carrying out the dissolution functions, legal expenses, and audit fees	\$66,300
Administrative overhead, duplicating, materials, and supplies	\$13,700
<u>Total Proposed Administrative Budget</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed Source(s) of Payment:

Administrative cost allowance	\$80,000
<u>Total Proposed Sources of Payment</u>	<u>\$80,000</u>

Proposed arrangement for administrative and operations services provided by the City:

City employees formerly assigned to redevelopment functions will continue to staff the administrative functions associated with the dissolution of the redevelopment agency. Dissolution costs will be recorded within the General Fund, but separately from other City functions. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34171(b), the Successor Agency is entitled to receive an administrative cost allowance of up to 3% of the money from the Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund that is allocated to the Successor Agency for each fiscal year, but in no event less than \$250,000 per fiscal year.

**City of Irvine Successor Agency
Proposed ROPS 23-24 Administrative Budget**

Administrative Budget	Description	Estimated Cost
Attorney Fees	Counsel for Successor Agency	\$15,000
Consultant Fees	Annual audits for financial statement	\$19,500
Administrative Overhead	Share of Civic Center operating costs (e.g., IT technology, utilities, maintenance)	\$10,000
Materials and Supplies	Duplicating, postage, printing, office supplies	\$3,700
SUBTOTAL:		\$48,200

Position	Duties	Salaries and Benefits Estimated Cost
Director of Financial Management and Strategic Planning	Oversees Successor Agency administration	\$3,498
Finance Officer	Oversees RPTTF funding distribution per agreements	\$5,567
Finance Administrator	Tracks RPTTF funding received	\$844
Senior Management Analyst	Develops annual ROPS and administrative budget for submission to County Oversight Board and Dept. of Finance; prepares staff reports for Successor Agency meetings; manages distribution of RPTTF funding per agreements; processes invoices for Successor Agency	\$18,694
Management Analyst I	Prepares Successor Agency agenda items	\$1,663
Administrative Secretary	Assists with preparation of Successor Agency documents	\$1,533
SUBTOTAL:		\$31,798
TOTAL:		\$80,000