Orange Countywide Oversight Board

Date: 1/21/2020

Agenda Item No. 5A

From: Successor Agency to the County of Orange Redevelopment Agency

Subject: Resolution of the Countywide Oversight Board Approving Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) and Administrative Budget

Recommended Action:

Approve resolution approving FY 2020-21 ROPS and Administrative Budget for the County of Orange Successor Agency

The County of Orange Successor Agency requests approval of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) and Administrative Budget for Fiscal Year 2020-21.

The attached Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) is being presented to the Countywide Oversight Board for their approval in regard to those financial obligations, which remain through June 30, 2021, of the former Orange County Development Agency, pending final approval by the State Department of Finance and State Controller's Office. The financial obligations listed on the ROPS are consistent with the January 22,2019 Oversight Board approved ROPS.

The Administrative Budget functions of the County's Successor Agency consists of 11 staff located within two (2) departments. Administrative support for daily operations is provided by OC Community Resources (OCCR) and includes a Program Manager who oversees Successor Agency operations, an Accounting Manager I who reviews/approves calculations for the ROPS and Prior Period Adjustment, a Senior Accountant who is responsible for preparing financial statements, ROPS and PPA documentation as well as coordinates with external auditors, and an Accountant II and Accounting Technician who provide additional support as needed.

The County's Successor Agency also utilizes staff from the CEO's Public Finance division for items pertaining to its debt service. Positions within this group include a Public Finance Manager I and II who are responsible for monitoring compliance with the bond covenants, invoice review and the continuing disclosures and an Accounting Manager I and II and an Accountant who are responsible for monthly trustee activity, preparation of the ROPS, PPA and cash report, debt service payments, and other debt-related items.

During FY 2020-21 staff anticipate several events that could significantly impact the County's Successor Agency's administrative costs. Recently, the County approve new agreements with the respective employee unions. The new agreements include increases to staff pay; however, a formal impact analysis is still pending. Additionally, OCCR's Administrative Services group, which housing the Successor Agency, is expected to undergo a reorganization, which could include changes in staffing levels. The financial impact of the reorganization is still pending. Finally, during the upcoming fiscal year, Successor Agency staff will begin analyzing the processes and timing involved in closing out the NDAPP Bonds. Based on these factors and anticipated costs, the County's Successor Agency is requesting the minimum administrative cost allowed under State Law of \$250,000 in administrative costs for FY 2020-21.

On January 14, 2020, the County Board of Supervisors (Board) reviewed and approved the Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) and its associated Administrative Budget. A copy of the relevant Board Resolution/minutes will be provided to the Countywide Oversight Board upon receipt from the County Clerk's office.

Impact on Taxing Entities

None

Staff Contact(s)

Jeffrey Kirkpatrick, OC Community Resources 714-480-2849 Jeff.Kirkpatrick@occr.ocgov.com

Attachments

- Annual ROPS 2020-21
- Administrative Budget for FY 2020-21
- Resolution of the Countywide Oversight Board
- Resolution of the Orange County Board of Supervisors (Placeholder)
- Annual ROPS 2019-20
- Annual ROPS 2018-19
- DOF Approval Letter re: Annual ROPS 2019-20
- DOF Approval Letter re: Annual ROPS 2018-19

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) - Summary Filed for the July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 Period

Successor Agency: Orange County

County: Orange

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	S 20-21A Total (July - December)	20-21B Total Jun			νS 20-21 Γotal
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D)	\$	- \$		\$	
B Bond Proceeds		12			
C Reserve Balance			-		
D Other Funds		iwi			
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G)	\$7,615,079	- \$4,869,614	-	\$12,484,693	
F RPTTF	\$7,494,079	- \$4,740,614	-	\$12,234,693	
G Administrative RPTTF	\$121,000	- \$129,000		\$250,000	
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E)	\$ 7,615,079	- \$	4,869,614 -	12,541,693	
Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:	B	rian Probolsky			Chair
	Name				
Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code,					

Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

> /s/ ____ Signature

Date

Orange County Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) - ROPS Detail July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021

A	В	С	D	ε	F	G	н	L	J	к	L	M	N		0	Р	Q	R	S	T	U	v		W
em		Obligation	Agreement	Agreement			Project Area	Total Outstanding Obligation		ROPS 20-21		R	OPS 20-	-21A	(Jul - Dec)		20-21A		ROPS	20-21B (.	lan - Jun)		2	20-21B
	Project Name	Турө	Execution Date	Termination Date	Pavee	Description			Retired	Total			F	und	Sources		Total			Fun	d Sources		7 7	Total
	r tojoot Hamo		Date	Date	1 ayou	Description			Noured		Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance			RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	1	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF]	
1	·							\$19,576,78	1	\$12,541,69	3 3	5- 5	5- 3	S- S	7,494,079	\$121,000	\$7,615,07	9		5 5	\$4,740,614	\$129,00	0 5	4,898,614
2	Agency Administration	Admin Costs	01/01/ 2014	06/30/2014	County of Orange	Staff Salaries, Benefits, etc.	SAH/ NDAPP	\$ 250,00	0 N	25000	0		-	1	-	\$ 97,000	\$ 97,00	0	-	-		\$ 96,00	0 \$	96,000
3	Annexation Agreement	Miscellaneous	07/06/ 1999	12/31/2099	City of Lake Forest	Property Tax Allocation	NDAPP	\$ 7,185,15	4 N	\$ 7,185,15	14	-	-	- \$	7,185,154	-	\$ 7,185,15	4	-				\$	-
19	Treasury Investment Fees	Fees	03/01/ 2002	09/01/2023	County of Orange Treasurer/ Tax Collector	Treasury Investment Charge	SAH/ NDAPP	\$ 1,18	0 N	\$ 1,16	0	-	-	- \$	5 490		\$ 49	0	-	•	690		- \$	690
21	Arbitrage Fees		08/01/ 2014	07/31/2024	BLX	Arbitrage Calculation and Reporting	SAH/ NDAPP	\$ 1,38	0 N	\$ 1,38	0	-	-	- \$	1,380		\$ 1,38	0	•	•			- \$	
	Bank Trustee Service Fees	Fees	03/01/ 2002	09/01/2023	US Bank	Bank Trustee Service	SAH/ NDAPP	\$ 6,67	0 N	\$ 6,67	0	-	-	- \$	2,990		\$ 2,99	0	-		3680		- \$	3,680
	Bond Counsel Fees		04/23/ 2013	04/22/2021	Stradling, Yocca, Carlson, Rauth	Bond Counsel	SAH/ NDAPP	\$ 11,50	0 N	\$ 11,50	0	-	-	- \$	5 11,500		\$ 11,50	0	-	* *	2.		- \$	-
	Bond Administration	Admin Costs	03/01/ 2002	09/01/2023	County of Orange	Staff Salaries and Benefits	SAH/ NDAPP	\$ 57,00	0 N	\$ 57,00	0	-	-	-		\$ 24,000.00	\$ 24,00	0	-	-		\$ 33,000.0	0	33000
			01/09/ 2014	09/01/2023	U.S. Bank	Principal and Interest Debt service for 2014 Santa Ana Heights Tax Allocation Bonds.	SAH	\$ 8,136,75	0 N	\$ 2,714,25	0						\$				\$ 2,714,250		- \$	2,714,250
			09/20/ 2014	09/01/2022	U.S. Bank	Principal and Interest Debt service for 2014 NDAPP Tax Allocation Bonds	NDAPP	\$ 3,630,98	2 N	\$ 2,018,39	4	•	*	•			\$	•			\$ 2,018,394		- \$	2,018,394
	Continuing Disclosure Fees	Fees	12/01/ 2015	11/30/2020	Applied Best Practices	Bond Continuing Disclosure Service	SAH/ NDAPP	\$ 3,60	0 N	\$ 3,60	0	-				-	\$	-	-		\$ 3,600		- \$	3,600
	Annexlation Agreement True Up	Miscellaneous	07/01/ 1996	12/31/2099	City of Lake Forest	Property Tax True Up	NDAPP	\$ 292,56	5 N	\$ 292,56	5	-	-	- \$	292,585	-	\$ 292,56	5	-	•			-	0

	Orange County Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) - Notes July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021
item #	Notes/Commenta
2	
3	
19	
21	
22	
23	
25	
41	
42	
43	
44	

Resolution No. 20-____

A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD WITH OVERSIGHT OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE ORANGE COUNTY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2020 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 34177 (o)(1), the County of Orange, as Successor Agency to the Orange County Development Agency ("Successor Agency"), must submit a ROPS to the Department of Finance (the "Department") and the Orange County Auditor-Controller no later than February 1, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Successor Agency has submitted the ROPS attached hereto as Exhibit A, for this Board's review; and

WHEREAS, this Board has reviewed the ROPS as submitted by the Successor Agency for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE ORANGE COUNTYWIDE OVERSIGHT BOARD DOES HEREBY:

- 1. Find that the attached Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule contains no deficiencies or errors and correctly reflects the lawful obligations of the Successor Agency to the Orange County Development Agency for its 2020-2021 fiscal year.
- 2. Approve the attached Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021, as submitted by the Successor Agency to the Orange County Development Agency.
- 3. Direct the Successor Agency to the Orange County Development Agency to submit the attached Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule to the Department of Finance before February 1, 2020.
- 4. Authorize the Successor Agency to the Orange County Development Agency to make minor ministerial changes to the attached Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule upon further direction from the Department of Finance.

Orange Countywide Oversight Board

Placeholder for Pending Resolution

Date:	1/21/2020
Date:	1/21/2020

From: Successor Agency to the County of Orange Redevelopment Agency

Subject: Resolution of the County of Orange Board of Supervisors Approving the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (7/1/20 - 6/30/21)

The resolution of the County of Orange Board of Supervisors approving the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (7/1/20 - 6/30/21) was voted upon at their 1/14/2020 meeting. As such, the resolution/meeting minutes are not yet available for submission but will be provided to the Countywide Oversight Board at the earliest possible opportunity.

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 19-20) - Summary Filed for the July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 Period

Succ	essor	Agenc
Coun	ty:	

61

Orange County	
Orange	

Currer	t Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	0.0.0	20A Total December)	19-20B Total (January - June)	RO	PS 19-20 Total
Α	Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D):	\$	- 1	\$	\$	
в	Bond Proceeds		38.			**
С	Reserve Balance		×.			*
D	Other Funds			-		2
E	Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G):	\$	7,536,248	\$ 4,900,210	\$	12,438,458
F	RPTTF		7,398,948	4,742,510		12,141,458
G	Administrative RPTTF		139,300	157,700	_	297,000
н	Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$	7,538,248	\$ 4,900,210	\$	12,438,458

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:	Brian Probolsky	Chair
Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.	Name	Title
a ginon concare for the above hande cases agoney.	/s/	
	Signature	Date

							Orange Count	y Recognized Ob	igation Pa	avment Sched	ule (ROPS 19-2	0) - ROPS Deta	il								
										ough June 30,		.,									
			1	0	T	1		(Repo	rt Amoun	ts in Whole Do	llars)						1		a.		
А	в	с	D	E	F	G	н		J	к	L	м	N	o	Р	Q	R	s т	U	v	w
												19-20	A (July - Decem	ber)				19-20B (Jan	uary - June)		
													Fund Sources					Fund S			
Item #	Project Name/Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	ROPS 19-20 Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	19-20A Total \$ 7,538,248		Reserve Balance Other I		Admin RPTTF	19-20B Total
2	Agency Administration Annexation Agreement	Admin Costs	1/1/2014 7/6/1999	6/30/2014 12/31/2099	County of Orange	Staff Salaries, Benefits, etc.	SAH/NDAPP NDAPP	\$ 24,206,190 253,300 6,407,023	N	\$ 12,438,458 \$ 253,300 \$ 6,407,023	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0 \$	7,398,948	126 650 \$	\$ 126.650	\$ 0	\$ 0\$	0 \$ 4,742,510	\$ 157,700 126,650	\$ 4,900,210 \$ 126,650
19	Annexation Agreement Bond Debt Service Project Cost	Miscellaneous Fees	3/1/2002	9/1/2023	City of Lake Forest County of Orange	Property Tax Allocatior Treasury Investment Charge	SAH/NDAPP	6,407,023	N	\$ 6,407,023 \$ 1,380				6,407,023 460	10	\$ 6,407,023 \$ 460			920		\$ 920
21	Bond Debt Service Project Cost	Fees	8/1/2014	7/31/2019	Treasurer/Tax Collecto BLX	Arbitrage Calculation and Reporting	SAH/NDAPP	920	N	\$ 920 \$ 6,555				920		\$ 920					\$ -
22	Bond Debt Service Project Cost Bond Debt Service Project Cost	Fees Fees	3/1/2002 4/23/2013	9/1/2023 4/22/2021	US Bank Stradling, Yocca, Carlson,	Bank Trustee Service Bond Counsel	SAH/NDAPP SAH/NDAPP	6,555 11,500		\$ 6,555 \$ 11,500				2,990 5,750	4	\$ 2,990 \$ 5,750			3,565 5,750		\$ 3,565 \$ 5,750
24	General Counsel - Debt Service	Admin Costs	4/23/2013	4/22/2021	Rauth Orrick, Herrington, Sutcliffe		SAH/NDAPP		Y N	ş -					9	ş -					\$ -
25 41	Bond Administration 2014 Tax Allocation Bonds	Admin Costs Bonds Issued After 12/31/10	3/1/2002 1/9/2014	9/1/2023 9/1/2023	County of Orange U.S. Bank	Principal and Interest Debt service for 2014 Santa Ana Heights Tax	SAH/NDAPP SAH	43,700 10,845,000		\$ 43,700 \$ 2,708,250					12,650 3	\$ <u>12,650</u> \$ -			2,708,250	31,050	\$ 31,050 \$ 2,708,250
	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bonds Issued After 12/31/10		9/1/2022	U.S. Bank	Allocation Bonds. Principal and Interest Debt service for 2014 NDAPP Tax Allocation Bonds		5,651,557		\$ 2,020,575					s	s -	•		2,020,575		\$ 2,020,575
44	Bond Debt Service Project Cost Annexiation Agreement True Up	Fees Miscellaneous	12/1/2015 7/1/1996	11/30/2019 12/31/2099	Applied Best Practices City of Lake Forest	Bond Continuing Disclosure Service	SAH/NDAPP NDAPP	3,450 981,805	N N N	\$ 3,450 \$ 981,805				981,805	10 00	\$- \$981,805			3,450		\$ 3,450 \$ -
45									N	s -					00 00	s - s -					\$ - \$ -
47 48							-		N N	s -					999	<u>s</u> -					<u>\$</u> - \$-
49							-		N N	<u>s</u> - s -					999	<u> </u>					<u>\$</u> - \$-
51							-		N N N	<u>\$</u> - \$-					9	<u>s</u> -					\$ - \$ -
53 54									N	S -					00 00	6 - 6 -					\$ - \$ -
55 56									N N	<u>s</u> -					10 10	<u>s</u> -					<u>s</u> - s-
57 58									N	<u>s</u> -					1010	<u> </u>					<u>s</u> - s-
59 60									N N N	\$ - \$ -					000	s - s -					s - s -
61 62									N N	\$ - \$ -					0100	\$- \$-					s - s -
63 64									N	<u>s</u> -						s -					\$ - \$ -
65 66 67									N N N	s -					0101	s - s -					s - s -
67 68 69									N	ş -					000	s - s -					s - s -
70									N N	S -					000	s - s -					\$ - \$ -
71									N N	s -						s -					\$ - \$ -
73									N N	۹						s -					\$ - \$ -
75									N	<u>s</u> -						-					\$ - \$ -
77									N	s -						- -					\$ - \$ -
79 80									N N	\$ -					9	- -					\$ - \$
81									N	ş -					0000	\$-					\$ - \$ -
82 83 84									N N	\$ - \$					1010	- -					\$ - \$
84 85 86									N N N	\$ - \$ -					0.00	- -					\$ - \$
87									N	s -						ş -					\$ - \$
89									N	s -						s -					\$ - \$
90 91 92									N N N	\$ - \$ -											\$ - \$ -
93 94									N	<u>s</u> .					000	- 					
94 95 96									N N	S -											s - s -
90 97 98									N	ş -						ş -					\$ - \$ -
90 99 100									N N N	ş -						s -					
101									N	\$ - c					1000	- -					\$ - \$
101 102 103 104									N N N	s -					10 00	, - 3 -					s -
105									N	s -					100	, - , -					\$ -
106 107 108									N N N	s - s -					01000	s - ŝ -					
108									N	S -						, - , -					\$ -
111									N N	S -					0000						
112							+		N N	s - s -					999	s - S -					\$ - \$ -

Orange County Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 19-20) - Report of Cash Balances July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

A	8	С	D	E	F	G	н
				Fund Sources			
		Bond P	roceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	
	ROPS 16-17 Cash Balances (07/01/16 - 06/30/17)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS RPTTF and Reserve Balances retained for future period(s)	Rent, Grants, Interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/16) RPTTF amount should exclude "A" period distribution amount						
				775	1,053,040	7,064,826	
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/17) RPTTF amount should tie to the ROPS 16-17 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller						
-					703,477	5,137,357	
3	Expenditures for ROPS 16-17 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/17)					4.823.464	16-17 ROPS Expenditures including accruals o \$6401.98 that were authorized, funded and incurred in 16-17 ROPS but will be paid outside the ROPS period. \$22,944 Lake Forest RPTTF transfer excluded since this is for 17/18A ROPS Distribution per Dept, of Finance.
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/17) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)						
-						188,209	17-18A ROPS distribution amount.
2	ROPS 16-17 RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's ROPS 16-17 PPA form submitted to the CAC		No entry	required		336,086	Excess distribution over expenditures; Matches PPA Total Difference.
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/17) C to F = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)						Please note that this includes \$22,944 DOF approved sweep for 17-18 ROPS and \$7,908,238 DOF approved sweep for 1

m #	Notes/Comments
200	
-	
_	
_	
	<pre>set and set and s</pre>
_	
100	

1

-

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 18-19) - Summary Filed for the July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 Period

Orange County

Successor Agency: County:

Curre	nt Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)			8-19B Total nuary - June) RO	ROPS 18-19 Total		
A	Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D):	\$	- \$	- \$			
В	Bond Proceeds			*			
С	Reserve Balance		168	2	÷		
D	Other Funds			3			
Е	Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G):	\$	5,328,481 \$	4,945,122 \$	10,273,603		
F	RPTTF		5,166,481	4,759,122	9,925,603		
G	Administrative RPTTF	7.	162,000	186,000	348,000		
н	Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$	5,328,481 \$	4,945,122 \$	10,273,603		

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman: Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Orange

Name	Title
M	

							Orange Count				ule (ROPS 18-19) - ROPS Deta	ail									
										rough June 30,											
1								(Repo	rt Amour	its in Whole Do	llars)										
A	В	с	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	к	L M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	т	U	v	w
												A (July - Dece Fund Sources						B (January - Ju Fund Sources	ne)		
			Contract/Agreement	Contract/Agreement				Total Outstanding		ROPS 18-19					18-19A						18-19B
	Project Name/Debt Obligation Agency Administration	Obligation Type Admin Costs	Execution Date	Termination Date 6/30/2014	Payee County of Orange	Description/Project Scope Staff Salaries, Benefits, etc.	Project Area SAH/NDAPP	Debt or Obligation \$ 26,770,159 300,000	Retired	Total \$ 10,273,603 \$ 300,000	Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance \$ - \$ -	Other Funds \$ -	RPTTF \$ 5,166,481	Admin RPTTF \$ 162,000 150,000		s - s	eserve Balance	Other Funds \$ - \$	RPTTF 4,759,122	Admin RPTTF \$ 186,000 \$ 150,000 \$	Total 4,945,122 5 150,000
	Annexation Agreement Annexation Agreement (interest)	Miscellaneous Miscellaneous	7/6/1999	12/31/2099	City of Lake Forest City of Lake Forest	Property Tax Allocatior Interest Earned On Property	NDAPP	5,006,731	N	\$ 5,006,731 \$ -			5,006,731	100,000	\$ 5,006,731 \$ -					\$	-
	Special Counsel	Professional Services	6/23/2009			Tax Allocation Special Counsel to Successor Agence	y SAH/NDAPP		N	\$ -					s -					\$	-
6	Special Counsel	Professional Services	6/23/2009	12/31/2099	Goldfarb & Lipman	Special Counsel to Successor Ageno	y SAH/NDAPP		Ν	s -					s -					\$	-
18	Bankruptcy Recovery Contribution Bond Debt Service Project Cost	Miscellaneous Fees	7/1/1996 3/1/2002	9/30/2016 9/1/2023	County of Orange	Per Health and Safety Code 33670.9 Treasury Investment Charge	SAH/NDAPP SAH/NDAPP	3,450	Y N	\$ - \$ 3,450			1,725		\$ - \$ 1,725				1,725	\$	5 - 5 1,725
2	Bond Debt Service Project Cost	Fees		7/31/2019	Treasurer/Tax Collecto BLX	Arbitrage Calculation and Reporting	SAH/NDAPP	2,530	N	\$ 2,530			2,530		\$ 2,530					\$	ş -
23	Bond Debt Service Project Cost Bond Debt Service Project Cost	Fees Fees	3/1/2002 4/23/2013	9/1/2023 4/22/2016	US Bank Stradling, Yocca, Carlson, Rauth	Bank Trustee Service Bond Counsel	SAH/NDAPP SAH/NDAPP	6,440 17,250	N N	\$ 6,440 \$ 17,250			2,875 8,625		\$ 2,875 \$ 8,625				3,565 8,625	s s	<u>3,565</u> 8,625
24	Bond Administration	Fees Fees	4/23/2013 3/1/2002	4/18/2013 9/1/2023	Orrick, Herrington, Sutcliffe County of Orange	Bond Counsel Staff Salaries and Benefits	SAH/NDAPP SAH/NDAPP	17,250 48,000	N N	\$ 17,250 \$ 48,000			8,625	12,000	\$ 8,625 \$ 12,000				8,625	36,000 \$	8.625 8 36,000
21	Housing Entity Admin Allowance 2014 Tax Allocation Bonds	Admin Costs Bonds Issued After 12/31/10	7/1/2014	7/1/2018	Orange County Housing U.S. Bank	Admin Fee per AB 471 Principal and Interest Debt service for		13,556,750	Y	\$ 2,711,750					s -				2,711,750	s	\$ 2,711,750
	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bonds Issued After 12/31/10	0/20/2014	9/1/2022	U.S. Bank	2014 Santa Ana Heights Tax Allocation Bonds. Principal and Interest Debt service for		7,672,938	N	\$ 2,021,382					¢				2,021,382		\$ 2,021,382
	Bond Debt Service Project Cost					2014 NDAPP Tax Allocation Bonds Bond Continuing Disclosure Service									s .				3,450	\$	\$ 2,021,382 \$ 3,450
4	Annexiation Agreement True Up	Fees Miscellaneous	12/1/2015 7/1/1996	12/31/2099	City of Lake Forest	Property Tax True Up		3,450 135,370	N N N	\$ 3,450 \$ 135,370 \$ -			135,370		\$ 135,370 \$.				0,100	\$	-
46									N	s -					s . s .					\$	-
44									N N	s -					s -					\$	
5									N	s -					s -					\$	-
5									N N	\$ - \$ -					s . s .					\$	-
55									N N	\$-					s . s .					\$	
58									N	<u>s</u> -					s -					\$	-
60									N	· ·					s .					\$ \$	-
6									N N	s -					\$ - \$					5	-
65									N	s -					s -					\$	-
67									N N	s -					s .					\$ \$	-
65 70 7									N N	s -					\$ - \$					5	-
72									N	ş -					<u>s</u> .					S	-
74									N	<u>s</u> -					s . s					\$ \$	-
76							-		N N N	s - s -					s - s -					\$	
79									N N N	s -					\$ \$					\$	
8									N	\$.					s . s .		-			\$	-
8									N	ş -					s . s .					\$	-
85 85 85							+		N N N	s -					\$ - \$ -					\$	-
89									N	\$-					s - s -					\$	
90									N	e					s . s .					\$	
93		-							N N N	s -					s -					\$;
95									N	\$ - \$ -					s - s -					\$	
97									N N	ş .					s - s -					\$ \$	
99 100 101									N N	\$ -					s . s .					\$;
100									N	s - s -					s . s .					\$	- ; -
104									N	s -					s . s .					\$	-
100							-		N N	s -					s . s					\$	
10		1					1		N	\$ -					ş .					3 5	

Orange County Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 18-19) - Report of Cash Balances July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. For tips on how to complete the Report of Cash Balance Tips Sheet

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1
1		Bond P	roceeds	Reserve	Balance	Other	RPTTF	
	Cash Balance Information for ROPS 15-16 Actuals (07/01/15 - 06/30/16)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11		Prior ROPS RPTTF distributed as reserve for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments
ļ	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/15)							
							15,687,794	
	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/16) RPTTF amounts should tie to the ROPS 15-16 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller during January 2016 and June 2016.							
	Expenditures for ROPS 15-16 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/16)						9,062,507	
	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/16) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)						9,002,307	
5	ROPS 15-16 RPTTF Balances Remaining			No entry required				
	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/16) C to G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), H = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)							

	Orange County Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 18-19) - Notes July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019
item #	Notes/Comments
-	•
-	



GAVIN NEWSOM = GOVERNOR STATE CAPITOL I ROOM 1145 I SACRAMENTO CA I 95614-4998 I WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

March 28, 2019

Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick, Administrative Manager Orange County 1770 North Broadway Santa Ana, CA 92706

Dear Mr. Kirkpatrick:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the Orange County Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 25, 2019. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 19-20.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

 On the ROPS 19-20 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$680,533 in Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 19-20. HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following items have been reclassified in the amount specified below:

的法律		Requested		Authorized	NUM KALLANG
Item No.	Item Name/Project Name	RPTTF	RPTTF	Other Funds	Total
41	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds	\$2,708,250	\$2,330,435	\$377,815	\$2,708,250
42	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds	\$2,020,575	\$1,717,857	\$302,718	\$2,020,575
	Total	\$4,728,825	\$4,048,292	\$680,533	\$4,728,825

 The Agency's claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$47,000. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$250,000 for fiscal year 2019-20. Although \$297,000 is claimed for ACA, only \$250,000 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$47,000 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance Calculation							
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2018-19	\$	2,328,627					
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF		(311,262)					
RPTTF distributed for 2018-19 after adjustments		2,017,365					
ACA Cap for 2019-20 per HSC section 34171 (b)		250,000					
ACA requested for 2019-20	_	297,000					
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$	(47,000)					

Additionally, while the administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3), Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS.

HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on Page 4 includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency.

Except for the items adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 19-20. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 19-20, except items that are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$11,374,837 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 4 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick March 29, 2019 Page 3

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Jackson, Supervisor, or Michael Painter, Lead Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Bill Malohn, Accounting Manager, Orange County Mr. Israel M. Guevara, Administrative Manager, Property Tax Section, Orange County

Attachment

		TTF Distribution 2019 through J		2020		
	RO	PS A Period	RO	PS B Period	ROP	S 19-20 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	7,398,948	\$	4,742,510	\$	12,141,458
Administrative RPTTF Requested		139,300		157,700		297,000
Total RPTTF Requested		7,538,248		4,900,210		12,438,458
RPTTF Requested		7,398,948		4,742,510		12,141,458
Adjustments				÷		
Item No. 41		0		(377,815)		(377,815)
Item No. 42		0		(302,718)		(302,718)
	_	0		(680,533)		(680,533)
RPTTF Authorized		7,398,948		4,061,977		11,460,925
Administrative RPTTF Requested		139,300		157,700		297,000
Excess Administrative Costs		0		(47,000)		(47,000)
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		139,300	-	110,700		250,000
Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations		7,538,248		4,172,677		11,710,925
Prior Period Adjustment		(336,088)	e.	0		(336,088
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	7,202,160	\$	4,172,677	\$	11,374,837



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. - GOVERNOR

915 L STREET & SACRAMENTO CA & 95814-3706 & WWW.DDF.CA.GOV

April 13, 2018

Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick, Administrative Manager Orange County 1770 North Broadway Santa Ana, CA 92706

Dear Mr. Kirkpatrick:

Subject: 2018-19 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the Orange County Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 31, 2018. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 18-19.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

 The Agency's claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$53,988. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater, not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. Although \$348,000 is claimed for ACA, Item No. 24, in the amount of \$17,250, is considered an administrative cost and should be counted toward the cap. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$311,262 for fiscal year 2018-19. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$53,988 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance Calcu	lation	
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2017-18	\$	10,660,586
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF		(285,174)
Less sponsoring entity loan repayments		0
RPTTF distributed for 2017-18 after adjustments		10,375,412
ACA Cap for 2018-19 per HSC section 34171 (b)		311,262
ACA requested for 2018-19		348,000
Plus amount reclassified to ACA		17,250
Total ACA		365,250
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$	(53,988)

 On the ROPS 18-19 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 (ROPS 15-16). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$6,855,198 in Reserve Balances and \$1,053,040 in Other Funds, for a total of \$7,908,238 available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 18-19. Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick April 13, 2018 Page 2

HSC 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). Therefore, the funding source for the following items has been reclassified in the amounts specified below:

	ET la companya de la	Requested	Adjusted		Approved	
Item No.	Project Name/ Debt Obligation	RPTTF	RPTTF	Reserve Balances	Other 4 Funds	Total Funding
3	Annexation Agreement	\$5,006,731	\$5,006,731	\$5,006,731	\$ 0	\$5,006,731
19	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- Orange County Treasurer/Tax Collector	3,450	1,725	1,725	0	3,450
21	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- BLX (arbitrage calculation and reporting)	2,530	2,530	2,530	0	2,530
22	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- US Bank (trustee fees)	6,440	2,875	2,875	0	6,440
23	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- Stradling, Yocca, Carlson, Rauth	17,250	8,625	8,625	0	17,250
41	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds- Santa Ana Heights Project Area	2,711,750	1,697,342	1,697,342	0	2,711,750
42	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds- Neighborhood Development and Preservation Project	2,021,382	1,053,040	0	1,053,040	2,021,382
44	Annexation Agreement True-up Cost	135,370	135,370	135,370	0	135,370
		Total	\$7,908,238	\$6,855,198	\$1,053,040	\$9,904,903

Except for the items adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 18-19. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 18-19, except items that are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$2,311,377 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 4 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018 period (ROPS A period) and one distribution for the January 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 18-19 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (c), beginning October 1, 2018, the Agency will be required to report the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 period (ROPS 16-17) to the County Auditor-Controller for review. The Agency will report actual payments for ROPS 16-17 on ROPS 19-20. A prior period adjustment may be applied to the Agency's ROPS 19-20 RPTTF distribution. Therefore, the Agency should retain any unexpended RPTTF from the ROPS 16-17 period.

Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick April 13, 2018 Page 3

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 18-19. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be deem denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 18-19 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 18-19 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Jackson, Supervisor, or Michael Painter, Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Win Swe, Accounting Manager, Orange County Ms. Cindy Wong, Manager, Property Tax Manager, Orange County Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick April 13, 2018 Page 4

Attachment

		TTF Distributio	e 2019	
	RO	PS A Period	ROPS B Period	ROPS 18-19 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	5,166,481	\$ 4,759,122	\$ 9,925,603
Administrative RPTTF Requested		162,000	186,000	348,000
Total RPTTF Requested		5,328,481	4,945,122	10,273,603
RPTTF Requested		5,166,481	4,759,122	9,925,603
Adjustments				
Item No. 3		(5,006,731)	0	(5,006,731
Item No. 19		(1,725)	0	(1,725
Item No. 21		(2,530)	0	(2,530
Item No. 22		(2,875)	0	(2,875
Item No. 23		(8,625)	0	(8,625
Item No. 24		(8,625)	(8,625)	(17,250
Item No. 41		0	(1,697,342)	(1,697,342
Item No. 42		. 0	(1,053,040)	(1,053,040
Item No. 44	-	(135,370)	0	(135,370
54	<u>-</u>	(5,166,481)	(2,759,007)	(7,925,488)
RPTTF Authorized		0	2,000,115	2,000,115
Administrative RPTTF Requested Adjustments		162,000	186,000	348,000
Item No. 24		8,625	8,625	17,250
Adjusted Administrative RPTTF		170,625	194,625	365,250
Excess Administrative Costs		0	(53,988)	(53,988)
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		170,625	140,637	311,262
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	170,625	\$ 2,140,752	\$ 2,311,377



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. . GOVERNOR

915 L STREET SACRAMENTO CA 95814-3706 WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

May 17, 2018

Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick, Administrative Manager Orange County 1770 North Broadway Santa Ana, CA 92706

Dear Mr. Kirkpatrick:

Subject: 2018-19 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 13, 2018. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the Orange County Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual ROPS for the period of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) to Finance on January 31, 2018. The Agency requested a Meet and Confer on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer was held on April 25, 2018.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer, Finance has completed its review of the specific determination being disputed.

Item No. 24 – General Counsel Debt Service in the amount of \$17,250. Based on additional information and documentation provided, Finance no longer reclassifies this item as administrative costs. The Agency provided a copy of the Agreement with Orrick, Herrington, & Sutcliffe, LLP, entered into on April 23, 2018. According to the Agency, although the scope of services is similar to services provided under Item No. 23, the Agency is utilizing two bond counsels. Therefore, the requested amount of \$17,250 of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding is approved.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated April 13, 2018, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer:

 The Agency's claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$36,738. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater, not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. Although \$348,000 is claimed for ACA, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$311,262 for fiscal year 2018-19. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$36,738 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance Calculation								
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2017-18 Less distributed Administrative RPTTF	S	10,660,586 (285,174)						
RPTTF distributed for 2017-18 after adjustments	_	10,375,412						
ACA Cap for 2018-19 per HSC section 34171 (b) ACA requested for 2018-19		311,262 348,000						
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$	(36,738)						

 On the ROPS 18-19 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 (ROPS 15-16). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$6,855,198 in Reserve Balances and \$1,053,040 in Other Funds, for a total of \$7,908,238 available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 18-19.

HSC 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Therefore, the funding source for the following items has been reclassified in the amounts specified below:

		Requested	Adjusted		Approved		
ltem No.	Project Name/ Debt Obligation	RPTTF	RPTTF	Reserve Balances	Other Funds	Total Funding	
3	Annexation Agreement	\$5,006,731	\$5,006,731	\$5,006,731	\$ 0	\$5,006,731	
19	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- Orange County Treasurer/Tax Collector	3,450	1,725	1,725	0	3,450	
21	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- BLX (arbitrage calculation and reporting)	2,530	2,530	2,530	0	2,530	
22	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- US Bank (trustee fees)	6,440	2,875	2,875	0	6,440	
23	Bond Debt Service Project Cost- Stradling, Yocca, Carlson, Rauth	17,250	8,625	8,625	0	17,250	
41	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds- Santa Ana Heights Project Area	2,711,750	1,697,342	1,697,342	0	2,711,750	
42	2014 Tax Allocation Bonds- Neighborhood Development and Preservation Project	2,021,382	1,053,040	0	1,053,040	2,021,382	
44	Annexation Agreement True-up Cost	135,370	135,370	135,370	0	135,370	
		On, Rauth 17,250 8,625 6,625 6,625 0 onds- ject Area 2,711,750 1,697,342 1,697,342 0 onds- ject Area 2,021,382 1,053,040 0 1,053,040 True-up 135,370 135,370 135,370 0	\$9,904,903				

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$2,328,627 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 4 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018 period (ROPS A period) and one distribution for the January 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 18-19 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions. Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick May 17, 2018 Page 3

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (c), beginning October 1, 2018, the Agency will be required to report the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 period (ROPS 16-17) to the County Auditor-Controller for review. The Agency will report actual payments for ROPS 16-17 on ROPS 19-20. A prior period adjustment may be applied to the Agency's ROPS 19-20 RPTTF distribution. Therefore, the Agency should retain any unexpended RPTTF from the ROPS 16-17 period.

This is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 18-19. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be deem denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 18-19 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 18-19 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Cindie Lor, Supervisor, or Jeremy Bunting, Lead Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Win Swe, Accounting Manager, Orange County Ms. Cindy Wong, Property Tax Manager, Orange County Mr. Jeffrey Kirkpatrick May 17, 2018 Page 4

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July 2018 through June 2019				
	ROPS A Period		ROPS B Period	ROPS 18-19 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	5,166,481	\$ 4,759,122	\$ 9,925,603
Administrative RPTTF Requested		162,000	186,000	348,000
Total RPTTF Requested		5,328,481	4,945,122	10,273,603
RPTTF Requested		5,166,481	4,759,122	9,925,603
Adjustments				
Item No. 3		(5,006,731)	0	(5,006,731
Item No. 19		(1,725)	0	(1,725
Item No. 21		(2,530)	0	(2,530
Item No. 22		(2,875)	0	(2,875
Item No. 23		(8,625)	0	(8,625
Item No. 41		0	(1,697,342)	(1,697,342
Item No. 42		0	(1,053,040)	(1,053,040
Item No. 44	_	(135,370)	0	(135,370
		(5,157,856)	(2,750,382)	(7,908,238
RPTTF Authorized		8,625	2,008,740	2,017,365
Administrative RPTTF Requested		162,000	186,000	348,000
Excess Administrative Costs		0	(36,738)	(36,738)
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		162,000	149,262	311,262
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	170,625	\$ 2,158,002	\$ 2,328,627