0

Ш

G

œ

0

# MONTHLY PERFORMANCE REPORT OF CAATS: AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, HUMAN RESOURCES, & COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE/PURCHASING DUPLICATE VENDOR PAYMENTS AND

(Cited as a Best Practice by the Institute of Internal Auditors)

For the Month: January 2009

We analyzed 15,241 vendor invoices paid in December 2008 amounting to about \$105 million and found 99.99% of the invoices were only paid once.

**OTHER PERIODIC ROUTINES** 

Of the \$105 million vendor invoices, we identified 2 potential duplicate payments made to vendors totaling \$1,293.

To date we have identified \$772,147 in duplicate vendor payments, of which \$752,794 has been recovered.

AUDIT NO: 2844-G REPORT DATE: JANUARY 16, 2009

Audit Director: Peter Hughes, Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CITP
Deputy Director: Eli Littner, CPA, CIA, CISA
Sr. Audit Manager: Autumn McKinney, CPA, CIA, CISA
Audit Manager: Carol Swe, CPA, CIA, CISA









## **Providing Facts and Perspectives Countywide**

Dr. Peter Hughes Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CCEP, CITP, CIA, CFE

Office of The Director Certified Compliance & Ethics Professional (CCEP)

Certified Information Technology Professional (CITP)

Certified Internal Auditor (CIA)
Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE)

E-mail: peter.hughes@iad.ocgov.com

Eli Littner CPA, CIA, CFE, CFS, CISA

Deputy Director Certified Fraud Specialist (CFS)

Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA)

Michael J. Goodwin CPA, CIA

Senior Audit Manager

Alan Marcum MBA, CPA, CIA, CFE

Senior Audit Manager

Autumn McKinney CPA, CIA, CISA, CGFM

Senior Audit Manager Certified Government Financial Manager (CGFM)

## Hall of Finance & Records

12 Civic Center Plaza, Room 232 Santa Ana, CA 92701

Phone: (714) 834-5475 Fax: (714) 834-2880

To access and view audit reports or obtain additional information about the OC Internal Audit Department, visit our website: <a href="https://www.ocgov.com/audit">www.ocgov.com/audit</a>



**OC Fraud Hotline (714) 834-3608** 

# **Letter from Director Peter Hughes**







Audit No. 2844-G January 16, 2009

TO: David Sundstrom, Auditor-Controller Carl Crown, Director, Human Resources Department Ronald C. Vienna, County Purchasing

Ronald C. Vienna, County Purchasing Agent, County Procurement Office

**SUBJECT:** Monthly Performance Report of CAATS:

Auditor-Controller, Human Resources, & CEO/Purchasing - Duplicate Vendor Payments and Other Periodic Routines

We have completed the December 2008 report of the results of our Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (CAAT). The final report is attached for your information. Recoveries to date from duplicate vendor payments are \$752,794.

Each month I submit an **Audit Status Report** to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) where I detail any material and significant audit issues released in reports during the prior month and the implementation status of audit recommendations as disclosed by our Follow-Up Audits. Accordingly, the results of this audit will be included in a future status report to the BOS.

As always, the Internal Audit Department is available to partner with your staff so that they can successfully implement or mitigate difficult audit recommendations. Please feel free to call me should you wish to discuss any aspect of our audit report.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us by the personnel of the Auditor-Controller's Office and the Human Resources Department. If we can be of further assistance, please contact me or Eli Littner, Deputy Director at (714) 834-5899, or Autumn McKinney, Senior Audit Manager at (714) 834-6106.

Respectfully Submitted,

or. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director

Internal Audit Department

**Attachment** 

# **Letter from Director Peter Hughes**



Distribution Pursuant to Audit Oversight Committee Procedure No. 1:

Members, Board of Supervisors
Members, Audit Oversight Committee
Thomas G. Mauk, County Executive Officer
Jan Grimes, Director, Auditor-Controller/Central Accounting Operations
Robert Leblow, Senior Manager, Auditor-Controller/Claims & Disbursing Section
Bill Malohn, A-C/Information Technology/CAPS G/L System Support
Shelley Carlucci, Assistant Director, Human Resources/Administration
Bob Leys, Assistant Director, Human Resources/Services and Support
Rosie Santiesteban, Admin. Manager, Human Resources/Administration
Joan Villanueva, Admin. Manager, Human Resources/Administration
Laurence McCabe, Admin. Manager II, Human Resources
Foreperson, Grand Jury
Darlene J. Bloom, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

# **Table of Contents**



Monthly Performance Report of CAATS: Auditor-Controller, Human Resources, & CEO/Purchasing Duplicate Vendor Payments and Other Periodic Routines Audit No. 2844-G

For the Month: January 2009

Transmittal Letter	i
OC Internal Auditor's Executive Report	1
OBJECTIVES	1
BACKGROUND	1
SCOPE	2
RESULTS	2
Detailed Results	4
1. Duplicate Payments (Objective #1)	4
2. Employee Vendor Match (Objective #2)	5
3. Retiree/Extra Help Hours (Objective #3)	5
4. Deleted Vendors (Objective #4)	5
5. Direct Deposits (Objective #5)	5

# **OC Internal Auditor's Executive Report**



Audit No. 2844-G

**January 16, 2009** 

TO: David Sundstrom, Auditor-Controller

Carl Crown, Director, Human Resources

Department

Ronald C. Vienna, County Purchasing Agent, County Procurement Office

FROM: Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director

Internal Audit Department

SUBJECT: Monthly Performance Report of CAATS:

Auditor-Controller, Human Resources, &

CEO/Purchasing - Duplicate Vendor Payments and

Other Periodic Routines

## **Audit Highlight**

We analyzed 15,241 vendor invoices paid in December 2008 amounting to about \$105 million and found 99.99% of the invoices were only paid once.

Of the \$105 million vendor invoices, we identified 2 potential duplicate payments made to vendors totaling \$1,293.

To date we have identified \$772,147 in duplicate vendor payments, of which \$752,794 has been recovered.

## **OBJECTIVES**

Each month, the Internal Audit Department conducts a variety of performance reviews of vendor payment and payroll activity utilizing Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (known by the acronym CAAT). Our objectives are to analyze the selected vendor payment and payroll data to identify:

- 1. **Duplicate Payments:** Duplicate payments made to vendors. This CAAT is performed monthly.
- 2. **Employee Vendor Match:** Employees that bought goods or issued contracts to themselves or a related vendor. This CAAT is performed periodically as needed.
- 3. Retiree/Extra Help Hours: Retirees working as extra help in excess of mandated hour limits. This CAAT is performed annually.
- 4. **Deleted Vendors:** Active vendors deleted from the Vendor Master Table which could be an indicator of inappropriate payments. This CAAT is performed monthly.
- 5. Direct Deposits: Multiple employee paychecks directly deposited to the same bank account which could be an indicator of inappropriate payments. This CAAT is being reintroduced this month and will continue to be performed monthly in the future.

## **BACKGROUND**

CAATs are automated queries applied to large amounts of electronic data searching for specified characteristics. We use a proprietary, best practices and industry recognized software product to help us in this process.

# **OC Internal Auditor's Executive Report**



CAATs differ from our traditional audits in that CAATs can query **100%** of a data universe whereas the traditional audits typically test but a **sample** of transactions from the population.

Resulting exceptions or findings are forwarded to the appropriate department for validation and/or resolution. Depending on the department's review, the exceptions may or may not be a finding.

Often there is additional data needed to validate the exception that is only known at the department level. We also partner with the departments to identify internal control enhancements with the purpose of preventing future occurrences of the type of findings identified by the CAATs.

We are keeping the details of our process and the vulnerabilities identified to a general discussion because of the risks associated with disclosing specific details of our financial and accounting processes.

## **SCOPE**

This report details the CAAT work we performed in January 2009. Our analysis included a review of the following data:

- 15,241 vendor invoices totaling \$104,822,217 for potential duplicate payments.
- 103,480 vendors in the Vendor Master Table as of 1/6/09.
- 39,562 payroll direct deposit transactions processed for pay periods 25 (11/21/08 - 12/4/08) and 26 (12/5/08 - 12/18/08).

## **RESULTS**

For the month of January 2009, we found the following:

## Objective #1 - Duplicate Payments:

We identified **2** duplicate payments made to vendors, totaling **\$1,293** or **.001%** of the **\$105 million** of vendor invoices processed during December 2008.

## Value-added Information

Based on the to-date recoveries of \$752,794 from the duplicate vendor payment routine, these computer assisted routines have paid for themselves and are returning monies to the County that may otherwise be lost. To date, we have issued 80 monthly performance reports for the CAATs.

## **■** Objective #2 – Employee Vendor Match:

Our analysis of employee-vendor activity is in process; results will be reported at a later date.

# **OC Internal Auditor's Executive Report**



- Objective #3 Retiree/Extra Help Hours:
  Our review of working retiree activity is performed an
  - Our review of working retiree activity is performed annually; analysis will commence later this year.
- Objective #4 Deleted Vendors:
  Analysis performed with no findings noted.
- Objective #5 Direct Deposits: Analysis performed with no findings noted.

See the Detailed Results section for further information.

## **Detailed Results**



## 1. Duplicate Payments (Objective #1)

We used a CAAT routine to identify potential duplicate payments made to vendors during December 2008.

## A. Results

We identified two (2) duplicate payments totaling \$1,293 or .001% of the \$105 million of vendor invoices processed during December 2008. We have communicated the duplicate payments to the Auditor-Controller. The Auditor-Controller continues to investigate all duplicate payments and is pursuing collection. Currently, the Auditor-Controller has a recovery rate of about 98% on these duplicate payments that we have brought to their attention since the inception of the CAAT routines.

The table below summarizes the duplicate payment activity to date:

				Not				
CAAT Report	Total		Duplicates		Recovered		In Process	
	#'s	\$'s	#'s	\$'s	#'s	\$'s	#'s	\$'s
2002	103	\$99,980	19	\$10,334	80	\$87,808	4	\$1,838
2003	50	\$33,306	7	\$10,175	39	\$21,020	4	\$2,111
2004	33	\$105,779	7	\$2,990	24	\$101,460	2	\$1,329
2005	67	\$80,162	2	\$668	64	\$78,472	1	\$1,022
2006	75	\$347,008	16	\$33,720	54	\$310,019	5	\$3,269
2007	93	\$99,999	12	\$8,411	76	\$88,735	5	\$2,853
January 2008	7	\$2,410	3	\$1,303	4	\$1,107	0	\$0
February 2008	5	\$3,594	2	\$2,126	3	\$1,468	0	\$0
March 2008	6	\$2,812	3	\$2,343	2	\$269	1	\$200
April 2008	6	\$24,188	0	\$0	6	\$24,188	0	\$0
May 2008	10	\$1,850	1	\$144	9	\$1,706	0	\$0
June 2008	3	\$788	0	\$0	2	\$518	1	\$270
July 2008	5	\$21,448	0	\$0	4	\$20,923	1	\$525
August 2008	8	\$2,691	1	\$325	7	\$2,366	0	\$0
September 2008	2	\$2,492	0	\$0	2	\$2,492	0	\$0
October 2008	15	\$10,386	0	\$0	14	\$10,243	1	\$143
November 2008	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
December 2008	3	\$5,053	1	\$553	0	\$0	2	\$4,500
January 2009	2	\$1,293	0	\$0	0	\$0	2	\$1,293
TOTAL	493	\$845,239	74	\$73,092	390	\$752,794	29	\$19,353

## B. Background

This CAAT routine concentrates on a sub-set of vendor invoices paid by the County that possesses certain common attributes. The sub-set excludes one-time payments (such as election worker pay, jury duty pay, etc.) as well as recurring payments (periodic payments to the same payee for the same amount such as welfare, family support, etc.).

## **Detailed Results**



During the month of January 2009, 15,241 invoices for \$104,822,217 were added to this data sub-set representing December 2008 transactions. Currently, the data sub-set includes 820,597 invoices totaling \$8,360,660,478.

The total data file from which the sub-set is derived includes 2,471,421 records totaling \$16,183,071,055.

Our prior research has indicated that the duplicate payments are typically caused by a compounded human clerical error.

## 2. Employee Vendor Match (Objective #2)

We used a CAAT routine to identify employees that share a similar address as a vendor. This may identify employees buying goods or issuing contracts to themselves or a related vendor.

### Status:

This routine is performed periodically as necessary. We are currently gathering data to perform this routine in future months.

## 3. Retiree/Extra Help Hours (Objective #3)

We performed an analysis of working retiree hours to identify retirees working as extra help in excess of mandated limits. Our criteria are 960 hours (maximum allowed for regular retirees) or 720 hours (maximum for early retirees) during the fiscal year (FY).

#### Status:

This routine is performed annually. There is no current work in progress for FY 08-09. Our analysis will commence later this year.

## 4. Deleted Vendors (Objective #4)

We used a CAAT to identify vendors that have been deleted or removed from the Vendor Master List. For deleted vendors, we verify that there has been no recent activity for that vendor.

### Results:

This CAAT was applied in January 2009 with no significant findings.

## 5. Direct Deposits (Objective #5)

We used a CAAT to identify multiple employee paychecks directly deposited to the same bank account in the same pay period. We review results to determine there has been no irregular direct deposit activity.

## **Results:**

This CAAT was applied in January 2009 with no significant findings.

# **Detailed Results**



## Attachments:

Provided to the Auditor-Controller: dated 1/14/09 – A-C/Claims & Disbursing Section.